

STOCHASTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH UNBOUNDED AND DEGENERATE COEFFICIENTS

XICHENG ZHANG

*Department of Mathematics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology
Wuhan, Hubei 430074, P.R.China,
School of Mathematics and Statistics
The University of New South Wales, Sydney, 2052, Australia
Email: XichengZhang@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT. In this article, using DiPerna-Lions theory [1], we investigate linear second order stochastic partial differential equations with unbounded and degenerate non-smooth coefficients, and obtain several conditions for existence and uniqueness. Moreover, we also prove the L^1 -integrability and a general maximal principle for generalized solutions of SPDEs. As applications, we study nonlinear filtering problem and also obtain the existence and uniqueness of generalized solutions for a degenerate nonlinear SPDE.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the following second order linear stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE) in $\mathbb{R}^d$:

$$du_t = (\mathcal{L}_t u_t + f_t)dt + (\mathcal{M}_t^l u_t + g_t^l)dB_t^l, \quad u_0(\omega, x) = \varphi(\omega, x), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\{B_t^l, t \geq 0\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of independent standard Brownian motions defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0})$, and the random partial differential operators $\mathcal{L}_t(\omega)$ and $\mathcal{M}_t^l(\omega)$ are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_t(\omega)u := \partial_i(a_t^{ij}(\omega, x)\partial_j u) + \partial_i(b_t^i(\omega, x)u) + c_t(\omega, x)u, \quad (1.2)$$

where $a^{ij} = a^{ji}$ is symmetric, and

$$\mathcal{M}_t^l(\omega)u := \sigma_t^{il}(\omega, x)\partial_i u + h_t^l(x, \omega)u. \quad (1.3)$$

Throughout this paper, we use the following convention: when the indices i, j, k, l appear twice in a product, it will be summed. Moreover, i, j, k runs from 1 to d and l runs from 1 to ∞ . For instance,

$$\partial_i(a^{ij}\partial_j u) := \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_i(a^{ij}\partial_j u), \quad \partial_i b^i := \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i b^i, \quad |\sigma^{il}\xi_i|^2 := \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d \sigma^{il}\xi_i \right|^2.$$

Important notice: if we write $|\xi_i|^2$, without confusions, it always means that $\sum_i |\xi_i|^2$ as above. Below, we assume that the following parabolic condition holds: for all $(t, \omega, x) \in [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\mathcal{A}_{a,\sigma}(\xi) := 2a_t^{ij}(\omega, x)\xi_i\xi_j - |\sigma_t^{il}(\omega, x)\xi_i|^2 \geq \kappa(x)|\xi_i|^2, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\kappa(x) \geq 0$ is a non-negative measurable function. If $\kappa(x) \geq \kappa_0 > 0$, we say that the super-parabolic condition holds.

Keywords: DiPerna-Lions theory, Stochastic partial differential equation, Maximal principle, Nonlinear filtering.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the progressive σ -field on $[0, T] \times \Omega$. Let l^2 be the usual Hilbert space of all sequences of square summable real numbers. All the coefficients are always assumed to be $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -measurable. It is well known (cf. [11, p.131, Theorem 1]) that under super-parabolic condition, if $a, b, \text{div}b, c, \sigma, h$ are *bounded* and $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -measurable functions, and

$$f \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \mathcal{M}; W^{-1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad g \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \mathcal{M}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2)),$$

where $W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p > 1$ denotes the usual Sobolev space, then for any $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, there exists a unique generalized solution to SPDE (1.1) in the class

$$\mathbb{X} := L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))) \cap L^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \mathcal{M}; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

On the other hand, in the case of $\kappa(x) \equiv 0$ (i.e, degenerate case), if a, b, c, σ, h are bounded and have bounded continuous derivatives up to second order, and

$$f \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \mathcal{M}; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad g \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega, \mathcal{M}; W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2)),$$

then for any $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, there exists a unique generalized solution to SPDE (1.1) in the same class $\mathbb{X}$ (cf. [11, p.155, Theorem 1]). Moreover, in the case of super-parabolic, an analytic L^p -theory has been established by Krylov [3]. But, still the *boundedness* assumptions on the coefficients are required.

However, the assumptions of boundedness and non-degeneracy would become quite restrictive in applications. For example, in nonlinear filtering, one often meets some unbounded and degenerate coefficients. On the other hand, in the degenerate case, for solving SPDE (1.1), one usually needs to assume that the coefficients are at least twice continuously differentiable as said above. It is natural to ask whether we can remove or weaken these restrictive assumptions. An obvious difficulty is that when a is unbounded, it is not any more true that:

$$W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \ni u \mapsto \partial_i(a^{ij}\partial_j u) \in W^{-1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Moreover, in the degenerate case, it is not expected to have any a priori estimate for the first order derivative of u with respect to the spatial variable if the coefficients are not smooth.

Recently, Le Bris and Lions [9] studied the existence and uniqueness of deterministic Fokker-Planck equations with degenerate and irregular coefficients. Therein, the consideration of degeneracy is motivated by the pathwise uniqueness of SDEs with irregular coefficients and some modelling equations in polymeric fluids. The main tool of their proofs is the DiPerna-Lions theory (cf. [1]) of renormalized solutions to linear transport equations. The aim of the present paper is to relax the assumptions on a, b, c by using the DiPerna-Lions theory (cf. [1]).

We mention that a general maximal principle for SPDEs has been obtained by Krylov [4] under boundedness assumptions on coefficients. A historical remark about the maximal principle of SPDEs is also referred to [4]. Moreover, in [5], Krylov studied the unique solvability of SPDE (1.1) with unbounded b, c and bounded a, σ, h under super-parabolic assumption. Some other well known results about SPDEs with unbounded coefficients in weight spaces can be found in the references of [5].

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we state our main results about the well-posedness of SPDE (1.1) under different assumptions. In Section 3, under less conditions on the coefficients, we first prove the existence of generalized solutions. In Section 4, we prove a general maximum principle for the generalized solutions of SPDE (1.1) with $g^l \equiv 0$, which in particular implies the uniqueness of generalized solutions. Here, a commutation lemma of DiPerna-Lions about the mollifiers plays a crucial role. In Section 5, we study the L^1 -integrability and weak continuity of generalized solutions constructed in Section 3. In Section 6, we apply our results to the linear filtering equations. In Section 7, we prove the existence and uniqueness of generalized solutions for a degenerate nonlinear SPDE. In the appendix, the commutation lemma of DiPerna and Lions is proved for the reader's convenience.

2. STATEMENTS OF MAIN RESULTS

Let $W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the usual real valued Sobolev space, $W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2)$ the l^2 -valued Sobolev spaces. Let $W_{loc}^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $W_{loc}^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2)$ be the corresponding local Sobolev space. We denote by $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the set of all smooth functions over $\mathbb{R}^d$ with compact supports. For a Banach space $(\mathbb{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{B}})$, by $C_w([0, T]; \mathbb{B})$ we denote the space of all $\mathbb{B}$ -valued bounded measurable functions on $[0, T]$ that are weakly continuous with respect to the weak topology of $\mathbb{B}$. We remark that $C_w([0, T]; \mathbb{B})$ is still a Banach space under the uniform norm.

Below, we first give the notion of generalized solutions for SPDE (1.1). For this, we need to assume that

$$(\text{BasicA}). \quad \begin{cases} a^{ij}, \partial_i a^{ij}, b^i, c \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))), \\ \sigma^{i\cdot}, \partial_i \sigma^{i\cdot}, h \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2)), \\ f \in L^1([0, T] \times \Omega; L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)), \\ g \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2)). \end{cases}$$

In what follows, these assumptions will be always made if there is no special declaration, and without confusions, we shall drop the arguments (t, ω, x) . For example, for a function u , we may write

$$\int_0^t \int u := \int_0^t \int u_s dx ds := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_s(\omega, x) dx ds.$$

Definition 2.1. Let $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. An $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -measurable process

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)))$$

is called a generalized (or distribution) solution of SPDE (1.1) if for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it holds that for $(dt \times P)$ -almost all $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int u_t \phi dx &= \int u_0 \phi dx + \int_0^t \int u_s \mathcal{L}_s^* \phi dx ds + \int_0^t \int f_s \phi dx ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int u_s \mathcal{M}_s^{l*} \phi dx dW_s^l + \int_0^t \int g_s^l \phi dx dW_s^l, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_t^*$ and $\mathcal{M}_t^{l*}$ are their respective adjoint operators and given by

$$\mathcal{L}_t^*(\omega) \phi := \partial_i (a_t^{ij}(\omega, x) \partial_j \phi) + b_t^i(\omega, x) \partial_i \phi + c_t(\omega, x) \phi \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_t^{l*}(\omega) \phi := \partial_i (\sigma_t^{il}(\omega, x) \phi) + h_t^l(\omega, x) \phi. \quad (2.3)$$

Remark 2.2. It is easy to see that both sides of (2.1) are well defined under the above described basic assumptions.

We now state our first result under non-degenerate assumption, which is a direct conclusion of Propositions 3.1, 4.6, 5.2, 5.4 and 5.5 below.

Theorem 2.3. Let parabolic condition $\mathcal{A}_{a,\sigma}(\xi) \geq \kappa |\xi|^2$ be fulfilled with $\kappa \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d; (0, \infty))$, having continuous first order derivatives. Assume that the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{|a^{ij}|}{1+|x|^2}, \frac{|\partial_j a^{ij}|}{1+|x|} \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d); \\ \frac{|b^i|}{1+|x|}, \text{div} b \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)); \\ c \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))), \quad c^+ \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)); \\ \sigma^{i\cdot}, \partial_i \sigma^{i\cdot}, h, \partial_k h \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2), \end{cases}$$

where $c^+ = \max(0, c)$. Then for any $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and

$$f \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d), \quad g \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2)),$$

there exists a unique generalized solution $u \in L^2(\Omega; C_w([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ to SPDE (1.1) in the class that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int \kappa |\partial_i u|^2 \right) < +\infty.$$

Moreover, in addition to the above assumptions on the coefficients and u_0, f ,

(I) if $g^l \equiv 0$, $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $f \in L^1([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, then

$$u \in L^1(\Omega; C_w([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)))$$

and for some $C > 0$ independent of u_0 and f ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int |u_t| \right) \leq C \mathbb{E} \int |u_0| + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |f|;$$

(II) if $f \geq 0$, $g^l \equiv 0$ and $u_0 \geq 0$, then

$$u_t(\omega, x) \geq 0, \quad (dt \times P \times dx) - a.s.$$

In the degenerate case, we present three different results. The first one is a consequence of Propositions 3.1, 4.7, 5.2, 5.4 and 5.5.

Theorem 2.4. Let a and σ be independent of x . Assume that the following conditions hold: for some $q > 1$

$$\begin{cases} a^{ij} \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega)); \quad \sigma^{i\cdot} \in L^{2q}(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega; l^2)); \\ \frac{|b^i|}{1 + |x|} \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)) \cup L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)); \\ \partial_k b^i, c \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))); \quad \text{div} b, c^+ \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)); \\ h, \partial_k h \in L^{2q}(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2)). \end{cases}$$

Then for any $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and

$$f \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d), \quad g \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2)),$$

there exists a unique generalized solution $u \in L^2(\Omega; C_w([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ to SPDE (1.1). Moreover, the same conclusions (I) and (II) in Theorem 2.3 still hold.

The following result is an extension of Krylov and Rozovskii's result [7] (see [11, p.155, Theorem 1]), which is a consequence of Propositions 3.5, 4.6, 5.2, 5.4 and 5.5.

Theorem 2.5. Assume that for some $C_0 > 0$ and $q > 1$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_k \partial_k a^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \leq C_0 |\xi|^2; \quad \frac{|a^{ij}|}{1 + |x|^2}, \frac{|\partial_k a^{ij}|}{1 + |x|}, \frac{|b^i|}{1 + |x|}, \\ \partial_k b, \partial_k \text{div} b, c, \partial_k c \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)); \\ \sigma^{i\cdot}, \partial_k \sigma^{i\cdot}, \partial_k \partial_j \sigma^{i\cdot}, h, \partial_k h, \partial_k \partial_j h \in L^{2q}(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2)). \end{cases}$$

Then for any $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and

$$f \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad g \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2)),$$

there exists a unique generalized solution $u \in L^2(\Omega; C_w([0, T]; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ to SPDE (1.1). Moreover, the conclusions (I) and (II) in Theorem 2.3 still hold.

The following result is an easy consequence of Propositions 3.6, 4.8, 5.2, 5.4 and 5.5.

Theorem 2.6. Let a^{ij} be given as follows

$$a_t^{ij}(\omega, x) = \hat{\sigma}_t^{il}(\omega, x) \hat{\sigma}_t^{jl}(\omega, x)$$

such that for some $\alpha > 1/2$

$$|\hat{\sigma}_t^{il} \xi_i|^2 \geq \alpha \cdot |\sigma_t^{il} \xi_i|^2.$$

Assume that the following conditions hold: for some $q > 1$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\hat{\sigma}^i}{1 + |x|}, \partial_i \hat{\sigma}^i \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2)); \\ \frac{|b^i|}{1 + |x|}, \operatorname{div} b, c^+ \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)); \\ \partial_k b^i, c \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))); \\ \sigma^i, \partial_i \sigma^i, h \in L^{2q}(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2)). \end{cases}$$

Then for any $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and

$$f \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d), \quad g \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2),$$

there exists a unique generalized solution $u \in L^2(\Omega; C_w([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ to SPDE (1.1) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \int |u_s|^2 \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int (|\hat{\sigma}^{il} \partial_i u|^2 + |\sigma^{il} \partial_i u|^2) \right) \\ & \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \int |u_0|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |f|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |g|^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where C is independent of u_0, f and g . Moreover, the conclusions (I) and (II) in Theorem 2.3 still hold.

3. EXISTENCE OF GENERALIZED SOLUTIONS

In the sequel, we shall use the following conventions: The letter C denotes a constant whose value may change in different occasions, and ℓ_t denotes an L^1 -integrable real function on $[0, T]$ which may be different in different lines.

We now state our first existence result.

Proposition 3.1. Assume that **(BasicA)** and the following conditions hold: for some $q > 1$

$$a^{ij} \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\operatorname{div} b, c^+ \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\sigma^i, \partial_i \sigma^i, h, \partial_k h \in L^{2q}(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2)). \quad (3.3)$$

Then for any $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and

$$f \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d), \quad g \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2)),$$

there exists a generalized solution

$$u \in L^2(\Omega; L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)))$$

to SPDE (1.1). Moreover, if $\kappa \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d; (0, \infty))$, then the above generalized solution also satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int \kappa |\partial_i u|^2 \right) < +\infty. \quad (3.4)$$

Remark 3.2. If $\kappa(x) \geq \kappa_0 > 0$, then the above assumptions can be weakened. Since there is a complete theory in the super-parabolic case (cf. [11, 3]), it is not pursued here.

For proving this proposition, we adopt the argument of mollifying the coefficients. Let $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a regularizing kernel function with

$$\text{supp}(\rho) \subset \bar{B}, \quad \rho > 0 \text{ on } B_1, \quad \int \rho = 1,$$

where $B_1 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < 1\}$. Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a non-negative cutoff function with $\chi = 1$ on the unit ball and $\chi = 0$ outside the ball of radius 2. Set for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\rho_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{-d} \rho(\varepsilon^{-1}x), \quad \chi_\varepsilon := \chi(\varepsilon x).$$

Define

$$\begin{cases} a_{t,\varepsilon}^{ij} := (a_t^{ij} * \rho_\varepsilon) \chi_\varepsilon^2, & \sigma_{t,\varepsilon}^{il} := (\sigma_t^{il} * \rho_\varepsilon) \chi_\varepsilon, \\ c_{t,\varepsilon} := (c_t * \rho_\varepsilon) \chi_\varepsilon, & h_{t,\varepsilon}^l := (h_t^l * \rho_\varepsilon) \chi_\varepsilon \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$f_{t,\varepsilon} := (f_t * \rho_\varepsilon) \chi_\varepsilon, \quad g_{t,\varepsilon}^l := (g_t^l * \rho_\varepsilon) \chi_\varepsilon,$$

where the asterisk stands for the convolution in x . Moreover, we define

$$b_{t,\varepsilon}^i := [(b_t^i \wedge (1/\varepsilon)) \vee (-1/\varepsilon)] * \rho_\varepsilon. \quad (3.6)$$

Remark 3.3. Here, for a vector field b , we directly truncate b rather than multiplying a cutoff function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ so that $\|\text{div} b_{t,\varepsilon}\|_\infty \leq \|\text{div} b_t\|_\infty$. Otherwise, we need an extra assumption on b (see (3.8) and (5.1) below).

We need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 3.4. (i) Let parabolic condition $\mathcal{A}_{a,\sigma}(\xi) \geq \kappa|\xi|^2$ hold. Set $\kappa_\varepsilon := \kappa * \rho_\varepsilon$. Then,

$$\mathcal{A}_{a_\varepsilon, \sigma_\varepsilon}(\xi) \geq \kappa_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon^2 |\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3.7)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{a_\varepsilon, b_\varepsilon}$ is defined by (1.4).

(ii) Assume that (3.2) and (3.3) hold. Then, for some $\ell_t \in L^1(0, T)$,

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} \|\partial_i b_{t,\varepsilon}^i\| + \|c_{t,\varepsilon}^+\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \ell_t \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} \|\sigma_{t,\varepsilon}^{i\cdot}\| + \|\partial_i \sigma_{t,\varepsilon}^{i\cdot}\| + \|h_{t,\varepsilon}\| + \|\nabla h_{t,\varepsilon}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2)}^2 \leq \ell_t. \quad (3.9)$$

(iii) Let $\mathcal{L}_{t,\varepsilon}^*$ and $\mathcal{M}_{t,\varepsilon}^{l*}$ be defined in terms of $a_{t,\varepsilon}$, $b_{t,\varepsilon}$, $c_{t,\varepsilon}$ and $\sigma_{t,\varepsilon}$, $h_{t,\varepsilon}$ as in (2.2) and (2.3). Then for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{L}_{t,\varepsilon}^* \phi - \mathcal{L}_t^* \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 dt = 0 \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{M}_{t,\varepsilon}^{l*} \phi - \mathcal{M}_t^{l*} \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 dt = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. (i). By virtue of $\int \rho_\varepsilon = 1$, we have

$$|\sigma_{t,\varepsilon}^{il} \xi_i|^2 = |\sigma_t^{il} \xi_i * \rho_\varepsilon|^2 \chi_\varepsilon^2 \leq (|\sigma_t^{il} \xi_i|^2 * \rho_\varepsilon) \chi_\varepsilon^2. \quad (3.12)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{a_\varepsilon, \sigma_\varepsilon}(\xi) &= 2a_{t,\varepsilon}^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j - |\sigma_{t,\varepsilon}^{il} \xi_i|^2 \\ &\geq (2a_t^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j - |\sigma_t^{il} \xi_i|^2) * \rho_\varepsilon \cdot \chi_\varepsilon^2 \\ &\geq ((\kappa |\xi|^2) * \rho_\varepsilon) \chi_\varepsilon^2 = \kappa_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon^2 |\xi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

(ii). Estimate (3.8) is direct from definition (3.6) and (3.2). Estimate (3.9) follows from

$$|\partial_i \chi_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C\varepsilon 1_{[1/\varepsilon, 2/\varepsilon]}(|x|) \quad (3.13)$$

and (3.3).

(iii). Limits (3.10) and (3.11) follow from the property of convolution mollifying. $\square$

Consider now the following approximation equation:

$$du_{\varepsilon,t} = (\mathcal{L}_{t,\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon,t} + f_{t,\varepsilon})dt + (\mathcal{M}_{t,\varepsilon}^l u_{\varepsilon,t} + g_{t,\varepsilon}^l)dB_t^l, \quad (3.14)$$

subject to $u_{\varepsilon,0} := (u_0 * \rho_\varepsilon)\chi_\varepsilon$, where $\mathcal{L}_{t,\varepsilon}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{t,\varepsilon}^l$ are defined respectively in terms of $a_{t,\varepsilon}$, $b_{t,\varepsilon}$, $c_{t,\varepsilon}$ and $\sigma_{t,\varepsilon}$, $h_{t,\varepsilon}$ as in (1.2) and (1.3). Notice that all the coefficients of (3.14) are smooth in x , and their derivatives of all orders in x are uniformly bounded in (ω, x) for fixed t . In fact, we may further assume that all the coefficients together with all of their derivatives in x are uniformly bounded in (t, ω, x) if we also mollify the time variable and cut off it as done for x . We omit this tedious step for the sake of simplicity. Moreover, if we let $W^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) = \cap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} W^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $f_\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon^l \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; W^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $u_{\varepsilon,0} \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; W^\infty)$. Thus, by [11, p.155, Theorem 1], there exists a unique smooth solution $u_\varepsilon \in L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; W^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ to equation (3.14).

Below, for the simplicity, we sometimes drop the time variable t in $a_{t,\varepsilon}$, $b_{t,\varepsilon}$, etc. By Itô's formula and the integration by parts formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d \int u_\varepsilon^2 &= \left(2 \int u_\varepsilon (\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon + f_\varepsilon) + \int |\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon^l u_\varepsilon + g_\varepsilon^l|^2 \right) dt \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\int u_\varepsilon (\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon^l u_\varepsilon + g_\varepsilon^l) \right) dB_t^l \\ &= \left(- \int \mathcal{A}_{a_\varepsilon, \sigma_\varepsilon}(\nabla u_\varepsilon) + 2 \int u_\varepsilon (\partial_i (b_\varepsilon^i u_\varepsilon) + c_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon + f_\varepsilon) \right) dt \\ &\quad + \left(\int (2\sigma_\varepsilon^{il} \partial_i u_\varepsilon (h_\varepsilon^l u_\varepsilon + g_\varepsilon^l) + (h_\varepsilon^l u_\varepsilon + g_\varepsilon^l)^2) \right) dt \\ &\quad + \left(\int (u_\varepsilon^2 \partial_i \sigma_\varepsilon^{il} + 2u_\varepsilon (h_\varepsilon^l u_\varepsilon + g_\varepsilon^l)) \right) dB_t^l. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Observing that

$$2 \int u_\varepsilon \partial_i (b_\varepsilon^i u_\varepsilon) = \int u_\varepsilon^2 \partial_i b_\varepsilon^i$$

and

$$2 \int \partial_i u_\varepsilon \sigma_\varepsilon^{il} (h_\varepsilon^l u_\varepsilon + g_\varepsilon^l) = - \int u_\varepsilon^2 \partial_i (\sigma_\varepsilon^{il} h_\varepsilon^l) - 2 \int u_\varepsilon \partial_i (\sigma_\varepsilon^{il} g_\varepsilon^l), \quad (3.16)$$

by integrating both sides of (3.15) in time from 0 to t , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int u_{\varepsilon,t}^2 &= \int u_{\varepsilon,0}^2 - \int_0^t \int \mathcal{A}_{a_\varepsilon, \sigma_\varepsilon}(\nabla u_\varepsilon) + \int_0^t \int u_\varepsilon^2 \partial_i (b_\varepsilon^i - \sigma_\varepsilon^{il} h_\varepsilon^l), \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int (2u_\varepsilon (c_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon + f_\varepsilon - \partial_i (\sigma_\varepsilon^{il} g_\varepsilon^l)) + (h_\varepsilon^l u_\varepsilon + g_\varepsilon^l)^2) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left(\int (u_\varepsilon^2 \partial_i \sigma_\varepsilon^{il} + 2u_\varepsilon (h_\varepsilon^l u_\varepsilon + g_\varepsilon^l)) \right) dB_s^l. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

We are now in a position to give:

Proof of Proposition 3.1: By (3.17) and Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\int |u_{\varepsilon,t}|^2 \leq \int |u_{\varepsilon,0}|^2 - \int_0^t \int \chi_\varepsilon^2 \kappa_\varepsilon |\partial_i u_\varepsilon|^2 + \int_0^t \ell_s \left(1 + \int |u_\varepsilon|^2 \right) ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t \left(\int (u_\varepsilon^2 \partial_i \sigma_\varepsilon^{il} + 2u_\varepsilon (h_\varepsilon^l u_\varepsilon + g_\varepsilon^l)) \right) dB_s^l.$$

First taking supremum in time and then expectations, by Burkholder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int |u_{\varepsilon, s}|^2 \right) + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int \chi_\varepsilon^2 \kappa_\varepsilon |\partial_i u_{\varepsilon, s}|^2 \leq \\ & \leq \int |u_{\varepsilon, 0}|^2 + \int_0^t \ell_s \left(1 + \mathbb{E} \int |u_{\varepsilon, s}|^2 \right) ds \\ & + C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \left| \int (u_\varepsilon^2 \partial_i \sigma_\varepsilon^{il} + 2u_\varepsilon (h_\varepsilon^l u_\varepsilon + g_\varepsilon^l)) \right|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here and below, the constant C is independent of ε . The last term denoted by $\mathcal{J}$ can be controlled as follows: by (3.9) and Young's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \left(\int |u_{\varepsilon, s}|^2 \right) \left(\ell_s \int |u_{\varepsilon, s}|^2 + \int |g_{\varepsilon, s}^l|^2 \right) ds \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int |u_{\varepsilon, s}|^2 \int_0^t \left(\ell_s \int |u_{\varepsilon, s}|^2 + \int |g_s^l|^2 \right) ds \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int |u_{\varepsilon, s}|^2 \right) + C \int_0^t \ell_s \mathbb{E} \left(\int |u_{\varepsilon, s}|^2 \right) ds + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |g^l|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above calculations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int |u_{\varepsilon, s}|^2 \right) + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int \chi_\varepsilon^2 \kappa_\varepsilon |\partial_i u_{\varepsilon, s}|^2 \\ & \leq C + C \int_0^t \ell_s \mathbb{E} \left(\int |u_{\varepsilon, s}|^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq C + C \int_0^t \ell_s \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [0, s]} \int |u_{\varepsilon, r}|^2 \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \int |u_{\varepsilon, s}|^2 \right) + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int \chi_\varepsilon^2 \kappa_\varepsilon |\partial_i u_{\varepsilon, s}|^2 \leq C. \quad (3.18)$$

Consider now the Banach space $\mathbb{B}_1 := L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ and the reflexive Banach space $\mathbb{B}_2 := L^{2p}(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d))$, where $p = \frac{q}{q-1} \in (1, \infty)$. The sequence u_ε is then uniformly bounded in $\mathbb{B}_1 \subset \mathbb{B}_2$. So, there exists a $u \in \mathbb{B}_1$ and a subsequence u_{ε_k} such that u_{ε_k} weakly * in $\mathbb{B}_1$ (weakly in $\mathbb{B}_2$) converges to u . Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\ell \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega)$. Then by (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int u_{\varepsilon, t} \phi \ell_t dx dt &= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int u_{\varepsilon, 0} \phi \ell_t dx dt + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \ell_t \int_0^t \int u_{\varepsilon, s} \mathcal{L}_{s, \varepsilon}^* \phi dx ds dt \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \ell_t \int_0^t \int f_{s, \varepsilon} \phi dx ds dt \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \ell_t \int_0^t \int u_{\varepsilon, s} \mathcal{M}_{s, \varepsilon}^{l*} \phi dx dW_s^l dt \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \ell_t \int_0^t \int g_{s, \varepsilon}^l \phi dx dW_s^l dt. \end{aligned}$$

We want to take limits $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for both sides of the above equality. Let us first prove that

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \ell_t \int_0^t \int u_{\varepsilon,s} \mathcal{M}_{s,\varepsilon}^{l*} \phi dx dW_s^l dt \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \ell_t \int_0^t \int u_s \mathcal{M}_s^{l*} \phi dx dW_s^l dt. \quad (3.19)$$

By (3.11) and (3.18), it is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^T \ell_t \int_0^t \int u_{\varepsilon,s} (\mathcal{M}_{s,\varepsilon}^{l*} \phi - \mathcal{M}_s^{l*} \phi) dx dW_s^l dt \right| \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

For $u \in \mathbb{B}_2$, we define

$$(\mathcal{R}u)_t := \int_0^t \int u_s \mathcal{M}_s^{l*} \phi dx dW_s^l.$$

By Burkholder's inequality and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |(\mathcal{R}u)_t|^2 dt &\leq T \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left| \int u_s \mathcal{M}_s^{l*} \phi dx \right|^2 ds \\ &\leq T \left(\int_0^T \left(\mathbb{E} \int |u_s|^2 \right)^p ds \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^T \left(\mathbb{E} \int |\mathcal{M}_s^{l*} \phi|^2 \right)^q ds \right)^{1/q} \\ &\stackrel{(3.3)}{\leq} C_\phi T \left(\int_0^T \left(\mathbb{E} \int |u_s|^2 \right)^p ds \right)^{1/p} = C_\phi T \|u\|_{\mathbb{B}_2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\mathcal{R} : \mathbb{B}_2 \rightarrow L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)$ is a strongly continuous operator. So, $\mathcal{R}$ is also weakly continuous, and

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \ell_t (\mathcal{R}u_\varepsilon)_t dt \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \ell_t (\mathcal{R}u)_t dt.$$

Thus, (3.19) is proven. By Lemma 3.4 and passing to limits, as above, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int u_t \phi \ell_t dx dt &= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int u_0 \phi \ell_t dx dt + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \ell_t \int_0^t \int u_s \mathcal{L}_s^* \phi dx ds dt \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \ell_t \int_0^t \int f_s \phi dx ds dt \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \ell_t \int_0^t \int u_s \mathcal{M}_s^{l*} \phi dx dW_s^l dt \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \ell_t \int_0^t \int g_s^l \phi dx dW_s^l dt. \end{aligned}$$

Equality (2.1) then follows by the arbitrariness of $\ell_t \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega)$.

We now prove $u \in L^2(\Omega; L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)))$. By Banach-Saks theorem (cf. [2]), there exists another subsequence (still denoted by ε_k) such that its Cesàro mean $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_n} := \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n u_{\varepsilon_k}}{n}$ strongly converges to u in $\mathbb{B}_2$. Thus, there exist a subsequence still denoted by ε_n and a null set $A \subset [0, T] \times \Omega$ such that for all $(t, \omega) \notin A$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_n, t}(\omega) - u_t(\omega)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0.$$

Let $S_\omega := \{t \in [0, T] : (t, \omega) \in A^c\}$ be the section of A^c . By Fubini's theorem, for P -almost all ω , S_ω has full Lebesgue measure. Thus,

$$\sup_{t \in S_\omega} \|u_t(\omega)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in S_\omega} \|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_n, t}(\omega)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sup_{t \in S_\omega} \|u_{\varepsilon_k, t}(\omega)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

which together with (3.18) yields

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\text{ess. sup}_{t \in [0, T]} \int |u_t|^2 \right) < +\infty. \quad (3.20)$$

Let $\mathcal{D} \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be a countable and dense subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Noting that for fixed $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ and for $(dt \times P)$ -almost all $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int u_t(\omega) \partial_i(\sqrt{\kappa} \phi^i) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \bar{u}_{\varepsilon_n, t}(\omega) \partial_i(\sqrt{\kappa} \phi^i) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \int u_{\varepsilon_k, t}(\omega) \partial_i(\chi_{\varepsilon_k} \sqrt{\kappa_{\varepsilon_k}} \phi^i), \end{aligned}$$

we have for $(dt \times P)$ -almost all $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int \kappa |\partial_i u_t(\omega)|^2 \right)^{1/2} &= \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\|\phi\|_{L^2}} \int u_t(\omega) \partial_i(\sqrt{\kappa} \phi^i) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\|\phi\|_{L^2}} \int u_{\varepsilon_k, t}(\omega) \partial_i(\chi_{\varepsilon_k} \sqrt{\kappa_{\varepsilon_k}} \phi^i) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\int \chi_{\varepsilon_k}^2 \kappa_{\varepsilon_k} |\partial_i u_{\varepsilon_k, t}(\omega)|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (3.18), we get (3.4). The proof of Proposition 3.1 is complete. $\square$

For proving the uniqueness, we need more regular solutions. Below, we give two such results in the degenerate case. The first one is an extension of Krylov and Rozovskii's result [7] (see also [11, p.155, Theorem 1]). Therein, an Oleinik's lemma (see [12, p.44, Lemma 2.4.3] and [11, p.161, Proposition 3]) plays a crucial role.

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that the following conditions hold: for some $q > 1$*

$$\partial_k \partial_k a^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \leq C_0 |\xi|^2, \quad \frac{|a^{ij}|}{1 + |x|^2}, \quad \frac{|\partial_k a^{ij}|}{1 + |x|} \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)), \quad (3.21)$$

$$\frac{|b^i|}{1 + |x|}, \partial_k b, \partial_k \text{div} b, c, \partial_k c \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)), \quad (3.22)$$

$$\sigma^i, \partial_k \sigma^i, \partial_k \partial_j \sigma^i, h, \partial_k h, \partial_k \partial_j h \in L^{2q}(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2)). \quad (3.23)$$

Then the generalized solution constructed in Proposition 3.1 also satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\text{ess. sup}_{t \in [0, T]} \int |\partial_i u_t|^2 \right) < +\infty. \quad (3.24)$$

Proof. By differentiating SPDE (3.14) in the k th spatial coordinate x_k , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\partial_k u_\varepsilon &= (\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon \partial_k u_\varepsilon + [\partial_k, \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon](u_\varepsilon) + \partial_k f_\varepsilon) dt \\ &\quad + (\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon^l \partial_k u_\varepsilon + [\partial_k, \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon^l](u_\varepsilon) + \partial_k g_\varepsilon^l) dB_t^l, \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

where

$$[\partial_k, \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon](u) = \partial_k(\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(u)) - \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(\partial_k u) = \partial_i(\partial_k a_\varepsilon^{ij} \partial_j u) + \partial_i(\partial_k b_\varepsilon^i u) + (\partial_k c_\varepsilon) u$$

and

$$[\partial_k, \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon^l](u) = \partial_k(\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon^l(u)) - \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon^l(\partial_k u) = \partial_k \sigma_\varepsilon^{il} \partial_i u + (\partial_k h_\varepsilon^l) u.$$

Similar to (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |\partial_k u_{\varepsilon,t}|^2 &= \int |\partial_k u_{\varepsilon,0}|^2 - \int_0^t \int (2a_{\varepsilon}^{ij} \partial_i \partial_k u_{\varepsilon} \partial_j \partial_k u_{\varepsilon} - |\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{il} \partial_i \partial_k u_{\varepsilon}|^2) \\
&+ \int_0^t \int (|\partial_k u_{\varepsilon}|^2 (\partial_i (b_{\varepsilon}^i - \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{il} h_{\varepsilon}^l) + 2c_{\varepsilon}) + 2\partial_k u_{\varepsilon} \partial_k f_{\varepsilon}) \\
&+ 2 \int_0^t \int \partial_k u_{\varepsilon} ([\partial_k, \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}](u_{\varepsilon}) - \partial_i (\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{il} ([\partial_k, \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^l](u_{\varepsilon}) + \partial_k g_{\varepsilon}^l))) \\
&+ \int_0^t \int (h_{\varepsilon}^l \partial_k u_{\varepsilon} + [\partial_k, \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^l](u_{\varepsilon}) + \partial_k g_{\varepsilon}^l)^2 \\
&+ \int_0^t \left(\int ((\partial_k u_{\varepsilon})^2 \partial_i \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{il} + 2\partial_k u_{\varepsilon} ([\partial_k, \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^l](u_{\varepsilon}) + \partial_k g_{\varepsilon}^l)) \right) dB_t^l.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

We only need to treat the trouble terms

$$\int \partial_k u_{\varepsilon} \partial_i (\partial_k a_{\varepsilon}^{ij} \partial_j u_{\varepsilon}) \quad \text{and} \quad \int \partial_k u_{\varepsilon} \partial_i (\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{il} \partial_k \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{jl} \partial_j u_{\varepsilon}).$$

The first one can be dealt with as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \partial_k u_{\varepsilon} \partial_i (\partial_k a_{\varepsilon}^{ij} \partial_j u_{\varepsilon}) &= - \int \partial_k \partial_i u_{\varepsilon} \partial_k a_{\varepsilon}^{ij} \partial_j u_{\varepsilon} \\
&= \int \partial_i u_{\varepsilon} \partial_k \partial_k a_{\varepsilon}^{ij} \partial_j u_{\varepsilon} + \int \partial_i u_{\varepsilon} \partial_k a_{\varepsilon}^{ij} \partial_k \partial_j u_{\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Thus, by the symmetry of a_{ε}^{ij} and (3.21), we have

$$\int \partial_k u_{\varepsilon} \partial_i (\partial_k a_{\varepsilon}^{ij} \partial_j u_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \partial_i u_{\varepsilon} \partial_k \partial_k a_{\varepsilon}^{ij} \partial_j u_{\varepsilon} \leq \ell_s \int |\partial_i u_{\varepsilon}|^2, \tag{3.28}$$

where we have used that $\partial_k \partial_k a_{\varepsilon}^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \leq \ell_s |\xi|^2$ by (3.21).

For the second one, noticing that

$$\partial_i (\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{il} \partial_k \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{jl} \partial_j u_{\varepsilon}) = \partial_i \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{il} \partial_k \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{jl} \partial_j u_{\varepsilon} + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{il} \partial_i \partial_k \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{jl} \partial_j u_{\varepsilon} + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{il} \partial_k \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{jl} \partial_i \partial_j u_{\varepsilon}$$

and

$$\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{il} \partial_k \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{jl} \partial_i \partial_j u_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_k (\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{il} \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{jl}) \partial_i \partial_j u_{\varepsilon},$$

as in (3.27) and by (3.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \partial_k u_{\varepsilon} \partial_i (\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{il} \partial_k \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{jl} \partial_j u_{\varepsilon}) &\leq \ell_s \int |\partial_i u_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int \partial_k u_{\varepsilon} \partial_k (\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{il} \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{jl}) \partial_i \partial_j u_{\varepsilon} \\
&\leq \ell_s \int |\partial_i u_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int \partial_i u_{\varepsilon} \partial_k^2 (\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{il} \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{jl}) \partial_j u_{\varepsilon} \\
&\leq \ell_s \int |\partial_i u_{\varepsilon}|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

By (3.26), (3.28), (3.29), (3.22) and (3.23), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |\partial_k u_{\varepsilon,t}|^2 &\leq \int |\partial_k u_{\varepsilon,0}|^2 + C + \int_0^t \ell_s \int |\partial_i u_{\varepsilon}|^2 \\
&+ \int_0^t \left(\int ((\partial_k u_{\varepsilon})^2 \partial_i \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{il} + 2\partial_k u_{\varepsilon} ([\partial_k, \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^l](u_{\varepsilon}) + \partial_k g_{\varepsilon}^l)) \right) dB_t^l.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the same method as proving (3.18), we may prove the following uniform estimate:

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int |\partial_i u_{\varepsilon,t}|^2 \right) \leq C,$$

which then produces (3.24). $\square$

In Proposition 3.5, certain conditions on second order derivatives of a and b are required. Below, we follow the idea of LeBris and Lions [9] to consider a special degenerate case so that we can weaken the assumptions on a and b (see (3.30) below). But, we need a stronger assumption than the parabolic condition (see (3.31) below).

Proposition 3.6. *Let a^{ij} be given as follows*

$$a_t^{ij}(\omega, x) = \hat{\sigma}_t^{il}(\omega, x) \hat{\sigma}_t^{jl}(\omega, x) \quad (3.30)$$

such that for some $\alpha > 1/2$

$$|\hat{\sigma}_t^{il} \xi_i|^2 \geq \alpha |\sigma_t^{il} \xi_i|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.31)$$

Assume also that the following conditions hold: for some $q > 1$

$$\hat{\sigma}^i, \partial_i \hat{\sigma}^i \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega; L_{loc}^4(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2))), \quad (3.32)$$

$$\text{div} b, c \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)), \quad (3.33)$$

$$\sigma^i, \partial_i \sigma^i, h \in L^{2q}(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2)). \quad (3.34)$$

Then for any $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and

$$f \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d), \quad g \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2),$$

there exists a generalized solution u of SPDE (1.1) such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\text{ess. sup}_{s \in [0, T]} \int |u_s|^2 \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int (|\hat{\sigma}^{il} \partial_i u|^2 + |\sigma^{il} \partial_i u|^2) \right) \\ & \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \int |u_0|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |f|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |g|^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

where C is independent of u_0 , f and g .

Proof. Let a_ε^{ij} and σ_ε^{il} be defined by (3.5). Let $\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon^{il} := (\hat{\sigma}^{il} * \rho_\varepsilon) \chi_\varepsilon$. As (3.12) and (3.7), we have for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$a_\varepsilon^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq |\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon^{il} \xi_i|^2, \quad a_\varepsilon^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq \alpha |\sigma_\varepsilon^{il} \xi_i|^2,$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{A}_{a_\varepsilon, \sigma_\varepsilon}(\xi) = 2a_\varepsilon^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j - |\sigma_\varepsilon^{il} \xi_i|^2 \geq \frac{2\alpha - 1}{1 + \alpha} (a_\varepsilon^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j + |\sigma_\varepsilon^{il} \xi_i|^2) \geq \frac{2\alpha - 1}{1 + \alpha} (|\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon^{il} \xi_i|^2 + |\sigma_\varepsilon^{il} \xi_i|^2). \quad (3.36)$$

In (3.17), using the left hand side of (3.16), by (3.36), (3.33), (3.34) and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int |u_{\varepsilon, t}|^2 & \leq \int |u_{\varepsilon, 0}|^2 - \frac{2\alpha - 1}{1 + \alpha} \int_0^t \int (|\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon^{il} \partial_i u_\varepsilon|^2 + |\sigma_\varepsilon^{il} \partial_i u_\varepsilon|^2) \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \left(\ell_s \int |u_\varepsilon|^2 + C \int |f_\varepsilon|^2 + C \int |g_\varepsilon|^2 \right) ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \left(\int (|u_\varepsilon|^2 \partial_i \sigma_\varepsilon^{il} + 2u_\varepsilon (h_\varepsilon^l u_\varepsilon + g_\varepsilon^l)) \right) dB_s^l. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Thus, as in proving (3.18), we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \int |u_{\varepsilon, s}|^2 \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int (|\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon^{il} \partial_i u_\varepsilon|^2 + |\sigma_\varepsilon^{il} \partial_i u_\varepsilon|^2) \right) \\ & \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \int |u_0|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |f|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |g|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where C is independent of ε, u_0, f, g . The existence of generalized solution now follows by using weakly convergence method as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Estimate (3.35) now follows as in proving (3.20) and (3.4). $\square$

Remark 3.7. If $\sigma^i, \partial_i \sigma^i \in L^{2q}(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2))$ are replaced by

$$\sigma^i, \partial_i \sigma^i \in L^{2q}(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2))),$$

then we still have the existence of generalized solutions. In fact, we just need to take expectations for (3.37). Thus, we only have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \int |u_t|^2 + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int (|\hat{\sigma}^{il} \partial_i u|^2 + |\sigma^{il} \partial_i u|^2) \right) \\ & \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \int |u_0|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |f|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |g|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

4. MAXIMAL PRINCIPLE AND UNIQUENESS FOR SPDE

In this section, we prove a maximal principle for SPDEs, which automatically produces the uniqueness of generalized solutions.

Consider the following SPDE:

$$du = (\mathcal{L}u + f)dt + \mathcal{M}^l u dB_t^l, \quad u_0 = \varphi. \quad (4.1)$$

Let $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ be a generalized solution of (4.1) in the sense of Definition 2.1. We first make convolutions for (4.1) with ρ_ε and obtain

$$d(\rho_\varepsilon * u) = [\rho_\varepsilon * (\mathcal{L}u + f)]dt + [\rho_\varepsilon * (\mathcal{M}^l u)]dB_t^l.$$

Set

$$u_\varepsilon := \rho_\varepsilon * u, \quad f_\varepsilon := \rho_\varepsilon * f.$$

Let $\beta \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ be a convex function with

$$\beta'(r), r\beta'(r) - \beta(r), \beta''(r), r^2\beta''(r) \text{ are bounded.} \quad (4.2)$$

By Itô's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\beta(u_\varepsilon) &= \beta'(u_\varepsilon)(\rho_\varepsilon * (\mathcal{L}u) + f_\varepsilon)dt + \beta'(u_\varepsilon)(\rho_\varepsilon * (\mathcal{M}^l u))dB_t^l \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\beta''(u_\varepsilon)|\rho_\varepsilon * (\mathcal{M}^l u)|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by a non-negative smooth function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^d$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d \int \beta(u_\varepsilon) \phi &= \left(\int \beta'(u_\varepsilon) \phi (\rho_\varepsilon * (\mathcal{L}u) + f_\varepsilon) \right) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int \beta''(u_\varepsilon) \phi |\rho_\varepsilon * (\mathcal{M}^l u)|^2 \right) dt \\ &\quad + \left(\int \beta'(u_\varepsilon) \phi \rho_\varepsilon * (\mathcal{M}^l u) \right) dB_t^l \\ &= \left(\int \beta'(u_\varepsilon) \phi (\mathcal{L}u_\varepsilon + [\rho_\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}](u) + f_\varepsilon) \right) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int \beta''(u_\varepsilon) \phi |\mathcal{M}^l u_\varepsilon + [\rho_\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}^l](u)|^2 \right) dt \\ &\quad + \left(\int \beta'(u_\varepsilon) \phi (\mathcal{M}^l u_\varepsilon + [\rho_\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}^l](u)) \right) dB_t^l, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where we have used the following notation: for a differential operator $\mathcal{D}$,

$$[\rho_\varepsilon, \mathcal{D}](u) := \rho_\varepsilon * (\mathcal{D}u) - \mathcal{D}(\rho_\varepsilon * u).$$

Remark 4.1. The following two commutation relations can be verified immediately and will be used below: for real functions a, b, u ,

$$\partial[\rho_\varepsilon, a](u) = [\rho_\varepsilon, \partial a](u) + [\rho_\varepsilon, a\partial](u), \quad (4.4)$$

$$[\rho_\varepsilon, ab](u) = a[\rho_\varepsilon, b\partial](u) + [\rho_\varepsilon, a](b\partial u). \quad (4.5)$$

Integrating both sides of (4.3) in time from 0 to t and using the integration by parts formula, as in (3.17) we further have

$$\int \beta(u_{t,\varepsilon})\phi = \int \beta(u_{0,\varepsilon})\phi + \sum_{i=1}^8 J_i^\varepsilon(t), \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1^\varepsilon(t) &:= \int_0^t \int \left(-\beta''(u_\varepsilon)\phi \frac{\mathcal{A}_{a,\sigma}(\nabla u_\varepsilon)}{2} + \beta'(u_\varepsilon)\phi f_\varepsilon \right), \\ J_2^\varepsilon(t) &:= \int_0^t \int \beta'(u_\varepsilon)\phi [\rho_\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}](u), \\ J_3^\varepsilon(t) &:= \int_0^t \int \beta(u_\varepsilon) [\partial_j(\partial_i \phi a^{ij}) - \partial_i \phi b^i + c\phi], \\ J_4^\varepsilon(t) &:= \int_0^t \int (u_\varepsilon \beta'(u_\varepsilon) - \beta(u_\varepsilon)) [\phi \partial_i b^i + c\phi], \\ J_5^\varepsilon(t) &:= \int_0^t \int \beta''(u_\varepsilon)\phi \sigma^{il} \partial_i u_\varepsilon [\rho_\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}^l](u), \\ J_6^\varepsilon(t) &:= \int_0^t \int \beta''(u_\varepsilon)\phi \sigma^{il} \partial_i u_\varepsilon h^l u_\varepsilon, \\ J_7^\varepsilon(t) &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int \beta''(u_\varepsilon)\phi (h^l u_\varepsilon + [\rho_\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}^l](u))^2, \\ J_8^\varepsilon(t) &:= \int_0^t \left(\int \beta'(u_\varepsilon)\phi (\mathcal{M}^l u_\varepsilon + [\rho_\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}^l](u)) \right) dB_s^l. \end{aligned}$$

We want to take limits $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. For this aim, we need the following key commutation lemma of DiPerna-Lions [1]. For the reader's convenience, a detailed proof is provided in the appendix.

Lemma 4.2. For $j = 1, 2, 3$, let $p_j \in [1, \infty]$ and $q_j \geq \frac{p_j}{p_j-1}$. We are given

$$u \in L^{p_1}(0, T; L^{p_2}(\Omega; L_{loc}^{p_3}(\mathbb{R}^d))), \quad c \in L^{q_1}(0, T; L^{q_2}(\Omega; L_{loc}^{q_3}(\mathbb{R}^d)))$$

and for $i = 1, \dots, d$,

$$b^i \in L^{q_1}(0, T; L^{q_2}(\Omega; W_{loc}^{1,q_3}(\mathbb{R}^d))).$$

Let $r_j \in [1, \infty)$ be given by $\frac{1}{r_j} = \frac{1}{p_j} + \frac{1}{q_j}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. Then,

$$[\rho_\varepsilon, b^i \partial_i](u) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0 \text{ in } L^{r_1}(0, T; L^{r_2}(\Omega; L_{loc}^{r_3}(\mathbb{R}^d))) \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$[\rho_\varepsilon, c](u) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0 \text{ in } L^{r_1}(0, T; L^{r_2}(\Omega; L_{loc}^{r_3}(\mathbb{R}^d))). \quad (4.8)$$

Moreover, if

$$u \in L^{p_1}(0, T; L^{p_2}(\Omega; W_{loc}^{1,p_3}(\mathbb{R}^d))), \quad b^i \in L^{q_1}(0, T; L^{q_2}(\Omega; L_{loc}^{q_3}(\mathbb{R}^d))),$$

then (4.7) still holds.

We first treat the terms $J_2^\varepsilon, J_3^\varepsilon, J_4^\varepsilon$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $u \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; W_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ and assume that*

$$a^{ij} \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega; L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cup L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega; W_{loc}^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d))) \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$b^i \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))), \operatorname{div} b, c \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))) \quad (4.10)$$

or

$$b^i \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega; W_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d))), c \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))) \quad (4.11)$$

hold. Then, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}|J_2^\varepsilon(t)| = 0 \quad (4.12)$$

and in $L^1(\Omega)$

$$J_3^\varepsilon(t) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int \beta(u) [\partial_j (\partial_i \phi a^{ij}) - \partial_i \phi b^i + c \phi], \quad (4.13)$$

$$J_4^\varepsilon(t) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int (u \beta'(u) - \beta(u)) [\phi \partial_i b^i + c \phi], \quad (4.14)$$

where for (4.13), we also need the assumption $\partial_j a^{ij} \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)))$.

Proof. By (4.4), we have

$$[\rho_\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}](u) = \partial_i [\rho_\varepsilon, a^{ij} \partial_j](u) + [\rho_\varepsilon, \partial_i b^i](u) + [\rho_\varepsilon, b^i \partial_i](u) + [\rho_\varepsilon, c](u).$$

Thus, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} J_2^\varepsilon(t) &= - \int_0^t \int \beta''(u_\varepsilon) \partial_i u_\varepsilon \phi [\rho_\varepsilon, a^{ij} \partial_j](u) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int \beta'(u_\varepsilon) \partial_i \phi [\rho_\varepsilon, a^{ij} \partial_j](u) + \int_0^t \int \beta'(u_\varepsilon) \phi [\rho_\varepsilon, \partial_i b^i](u) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int \beta'(u_\varepsilon) \phi [\rho_\varepsilon, b^i \partial_i](u) + \int_0^t \int \beta'(u_\varepsilon) \phi [\rho_\varepsilon, c](u) \\ &=: J_{21}^\varepsilon(t) + J_{22}^\varepsilon(t) + J_{23}^\varepsilon(t) + J_{24}^\varepsilon(t) + J_{25}^\varepsilon(t). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Let $Q := \operatorname{supp}(\phi)$. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E}|J_{21}^\varepsilon(t)| \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_Q |\partial_i u_\varepsilon|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_Q |[\rho_\varepsilon, a^{ij} \partial_j](u)|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (4.16)$$

which converges to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ by (4.9) and (4.7) or the second conclusion of Lemma 4.2. Similarly,

$$\mathbb{E}|J_{22}^\varepsilon(t)| \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 4.2, (4.2) and (4.10) or (4.11), we also have

$$\mathbb{E}|J_{23}^\varepsilon(t)| + \mathbb{E}|J_{24}^\varepsilon(t)| + \mathbb{E}|J_{25}^\varepsilon(t)| \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0. \quad (4.17)$$

Limit (4.12) now follows. Limits (4.13) and (4.14) are easy by (4.2) and the dominated convergence theorem. $\square$

Next, we look at the term J_5^ε .

Lemma 4.4. Let $u \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; W_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ and assume that

$$\sigma^{i^*} \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega; L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2)), \quad h \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega; L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2))) \quad (4.18)$$

hold. Then, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}|J_5^\varepsilon(t)| = 0. \quad (4.19)$$

Proof. In view of

$$[\rho_\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}^l](u) = [\rho_\varepsilon, \sigma^{il} \partial_i](u) + [\rho_\varepsilon, h^l](u),$$

by (4.7), (4.8) and (4.18), one sees that

$$[\rho_\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}^l](u) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0 \text{ in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))). \quad (4.20)$$

Limit (4.19) now follows by (4.18) and $u \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; W_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. $\square$

Remark 4.5. In Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, if we assume

$$\partial_i u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))),$$

then the conditions on a and σ in (4.9) and (4.18) can be replaced by

$$a^{ij} \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega; L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))), \quad \sigma^{i^*} \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega; L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2))).$$

We first prove:

Proposition 4.6. Assume that (4.9), (4.10), (4.18) and the following conditions hold:

$$c^+ \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)), \quad (4.21)$$

$$\partial_i \sigma^{i^*}, \partial_k h \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2))). \quad (4.22)$$

Let $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ be a generalized solution of (4.1) satisfying

$$\partial_i u \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)). \quad (4.23)$$

(I) If $f \geq 0$ and $u_0 \geq 0$ and one of the following conditions holds

$$\frac{|a^{ij}|}{1 + |x|^2}, \frac{|\partial_j a^{ij}|}{1 + |x|}, \frac{|b^i|}{1 + |x|} \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)), \quad (4.24)$$

$$\frac{|a^{ij}|}{1 + |x|^2}, \frac{|\partial_j a^{ij}|}{1 + |x|}, \frac{|b^i|}{1 + |x|} \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)), \quad (4.25)$$

then for $(dt \times P \times dx)$ -almost all $(t, \omega, x) \in [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d$

$$u_t(\omega, x) \geq 0.$$

(II) If $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $f \in L^1([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and (4.24) together with the following condition holds:

$$\frac{\|\sigma^{i^*}\|_{l^2}}{1 + |x|} \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))), \quad \partial_i \sigma^{i^*}, h \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2)), \quad (4.26)$$

then

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\text{ess. sup}_{t \in [0, T]} \int |u_t| \right) \leq C \mathbb{E} \int |u_0| + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |f|, \quad (4.27)$$

where the constant C only depends on $\|\partial_i \sigma^{i^*}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2))}$, $\|h\|_{L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2))}$ and $\|c^+\|_{L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d))}$.

Proof. Using the integration by parts formula and by (4.18), (4.22) and the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_6^\varepsilon(t) &= \int_0^t \int (\beta(u_\varepsilon) - u_\varepsilon \beta'(u_\varepsilon)) \partial_i (\phi \sigma^{il} h^l) \\ &\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int (\beta(u) - u \beta'(u)) \partial_i (\phi \sigma^{il} h^l) \text{ in } L^1(\Omega), \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

and by (4.20),

$$J_7^\varepsilon(t) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int \beta''(u) \phi (h^l u)^2 \text{ in } L^1(\Omega). \quad (4.29)$$

Moreover, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} J_8^\varepsilon(t) &= \int_0^t \left(\int (\beta(u_\varepsilon) \partial_i (\sigma^{il} \phi) + \beta'(u_\varepsilon) \phi (h^l u_\varepsilon + [\rho_\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}^l](u))) \right) dB_s^l \\ &\xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \left(\int (\beta(u) \partial_i (\sigma^{il} \phi) + \beta'(u) \phi h^l u) \right) dB_s^l \text{ in } L^2(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

where the above stochastic integral is a continuous L^2 -martingale.

Now taking limits $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for (4.6) and summarizing the above limits, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \int \beta(u_t) \phi &\leq \int \beta(u_0) \phi + \int_0^t \int (u \beta'(u) - \beta(u)) (\phi \partial_i b^i - \partial_i (\phi \sigma^{il} h^l) + c \phi) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int \beta(u) (\partial_j (\partial_i \phi a^{ij}) - \partial_i \phi b^i + c \phi) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int \beta'(u) \phi f + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int \beta''(u) \phi (h^l u)^2 \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left(\int (\beta(u) \partial_i (\sigma^{il} \phi) + \beta'(u) \phi h^l u) \right) dB_s^l. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

(I). Let $\beta(r) = \beta_\delta(r) = \frac{\sqrt{r^2 + \delta} - r}{2}$ in (4.30). By simple calculations, we have

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \beta_\delta(r) = -(0 \wedge r) := r^-, \quad \beta'_\delta(r) \leq 0,$$

and

$$|r \beta'_\delta(r) - \beta_\delta(r)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{2}, \quad |r^2 \beta''_\delta(r)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{2}.$$

Taking expectations for (4.30) and letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$, by (4.18), (4.21), (4.22) and $f \geq 0$, $u_0 \geq 0$, we get

$$\mathbb{E} \int u_t^- \phi \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int (\partial_j (\partial_i \phi a^{ij}) - \partial_i \phi b^i) u_s^- + \int_0^t \ell_s \mathbb{E} \int \phi u_s^-,$$

which yields by Gronwall's inequality,

$$\mathbb{E} \int u_t^- \phi \leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int (\partial_j (\partial_i \phi a^{ij}) - \partial_i \phi b^i) u_s^-. \quad (4.31)$$

Case (4.24): Let $\chi_n(x) = \chi(x/n)$ be a cutoff function with the same χ as in Section 3. We choose in (4.31)

$$\phi(x) = \chi_n(x).$$

Noting that

$$|\partial_i \chi_n(x)| \leq \frac{C 1_{n \leq |x| \leq 2n}}{n} \leq \frac{C 1_{|x| \geq n}}{1 + |x|}$$

and

$$|\partial_i \partial_j \chi_n(x)| \leq \frac{C 1_{n \leq |x| \leq 2n}}{n^2} \leq \frac{C 1_{|x| \geq n}}{1 + |x|^2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \int u_t^- \chi_n &\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_{|x| \geq n} \left(\frac{|a^i|}{1 + |x|^2} + \frac{|\partial_j a^j|}{1 + |x|} + \frac{|b|}{1 + |x|} \right) u_s^- \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \left(\mathbb{E} \int_{|x| \geq n} \left(\frac{|a^i|}{1 + |x|^2} + \frac{|\partial_j a^j|}{1 + |x|} + \frac{|b|}{1 + |x|} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and by Fatou's lemma and (4.24), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \int u_t^- = 0.$$

Case (4.25): Let $\lambda(x) := (1 + |x|^2)^{-d}$ be a weight function and choose in (4.31)

$$\phi(x) = \phi_n(x) = \lambda(x) \chi_n(x).$$

Noting that

$$|\partial_i \phi_n(x)| \leq \lambda(x) \frac{C 1_{|x| \geq n}}{1 + |x|} + \frac{C \phi_n(x)}{1 + |x|}$$

and

$$|\partial_i \partial_j \phi_n(x)| \leq \lambda(x) \frac{C 1_{|x| \geq n}}{1 + |x|^2} + \frac{C \phi_n(x)}{1 + |x|^2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \int u_t^- \phi_n &\leq C \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \int_{|x| \geq n} \left(\frac{|a^i|}{1 + |x|^2} + \frac{|\partial_j a^j|}{1 + |x|} + \frac{|b|}{1 + |x|} \right) u_s^- \lambda \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \int \left(\frac{|a^i|}{1 + |x|^2} + \frac{|\partial_j a^j|}{1 + |x|} + \frac{|b|}{1 + |x|} \right) u_s^- \phi_n \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \ell_s \mathbb{E} \int_{|x| \geq n} u_s^- \lambda + \int_0^t \ell_s \mathbb{E} \int u_s^- \phi_n. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\mathbb{E} \int u_t^- \lambda \leq C \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \ell_s \mathbb{E} \int_{|x| \geq n} u_s^- \lambda = 0.$$

(II). Let $\beta_\delta(r) = \sqrt{r^2 + \delta}$ in (4.30). By elementary calculations, we know

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \beta_\delta(r) = |r|, \quad |\beta'_\delta(r)| \leq 1,$$

and

$$|r \beta'_\delta(r) - \beta_\delta(r)| \leq \sqrt{\delta}, \quad |r^2 \beta''_\delta(r)| \leq \sqrt{\delta}.$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$, as above we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int |u_t| \phi &\leq \int |u_0| \phi + \int_0^t \int |u| (\partial_j (\partial_i \phi a^{ij}) - \partial_i \phi b^i + c \phi) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int \phi |f| + \int_0^t \left(\int |u| (\partial_i (\sigma^{il} \phi) + \phi h^l) \right) dB_s^l, \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

where we have used that

$$\int_0^t \left(\int (\beta_\delta(u) \partial_i (\sigma^{il} \phi) + u \beta'_\delta(u) h^l \phi) \right) dB_s^l \rightarrow \int_0^t \left(\int |u| (\partial_i (\sigma^{il} \phi) + h^l \phi) \right) dB_s^l$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Set

$$\Phi_t := \text{ess. sup}_{s \in [0, t]} \int |u_s| \phi.$$

Now taking the essential supremum for both sides of (4.33) in time t and by Burkholder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \Phi_t &\leq \mathbb{E} \int |u_0| \phi + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int |u| (|\partial_j(\partial_i \phi a^{ij}) - \partial_i \phi b^i| + c^+ \phi) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int \phi |f| + C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \left| \int |u| (|\partial_i(\sigma^{il} \phi)| + \phi |h^l|) \right|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The last term denoted by $\mathcal{J}$ is controlled as follows: by (4.18), (4.22) and Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \left| \int |u| |\sigma^{il} \partial_i \phi| \right|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \ell_s \left(\int |u_s| \phi \right)^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \left| \int |u| |\sigma^{il} \partial_i \phi| \right|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \Phi_t + \int_0^t \ell_s \mathbb{E} \Phi_s ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \Phi_t &\leq \mathbb{E} \int |u_0| \phi + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |u| (|\partial_j(\partial_i \phi a^{ij})| + |\partial_i \phi b^i|) + \int_0^t \ell_s \mathbb{E} \Phi_s \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |f| \phi + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \Phi_t + C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \left| \int |u| |\sigma^{il} \partial_i \phi| \right|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields by Gronwall's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \Phi_T &\leq C \mathbb{E} \int |u_0| \phi + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |u| (|\partial_j(\partial_i \phi a^{ij})| + |\partial_i \phi b^i|) \\ &\quad + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |f| \phi + C \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \left| \int |u| |\sigma^{il} \partial_i \phi| \right|^2 ds \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

Choosing $\phi = \chi_n$ and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, as (4.32), we get by (4.24), (4.26) and the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\text{ess. sup}_{s \in [0, T]} \int |u_s| \right) \leq C \mathbb{E} \int |u_0| + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |f|.$$

If we check the above proof, we find that the constant C only depends on the following three quantities:

$$\|\partial_i \sigma^i\|_{L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2))}, \|h\|_{L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2))}, \|c^+\|_{L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d))}.$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

In the case of a and σ independent of x , we have the following simple result.

Proposition 4.7. *Let a, σ be independent of x . Assume that the following conditions hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} a^{ij} &\in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega)), \quad \sigma^i \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega; l^2)), \\ b^i &\in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega; W_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d))), \\ c &\in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))), \quad c^+ \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)), \\ h, \partial_k h &\in L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega; L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2))). \end{aligned}$$

Let $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ be a generalized solution of (4.1).

(I) If $f \geq 0$, $u_0 \geq 0$ and the following condition holds

$$\frac{|b^i|}{1+|x|} \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)) \cup L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)),$$

then for $(dt \times P \times dx)$ -almost all $(t, \omega, x) \in [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d$

$$u_t(\omega, x) \geq 0.$$

(II) If $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $f \in L^1([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and the following condition holds

$$\frac{|b^i|}{1+|x|} \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)),$$

then

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\text{ess. sup}_{t \in [0, T]} \int |u_t| \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \int |u_0| + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |f|.$$

Proof. Noticing that in this case

$$[\rho_\varepsilon, \mathcal{L}](u) = [\rho_\varepsilon, \partial_i b^i](u) + [\rho_\varepsilon, b^i \partial_i](u) + [\rho_\varepsilon, c](u)$$

and

$$[\rho_\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}^l](u) = [\rho_\varepsilon, h^l](u).$$

we can repeat the proof given in Proposition 4.6 to conclude the result. We omit the details. $\square$

Proposition 4.8. Let a^{ij} be given as follows

$$a_t^{ij}(\omega, x) = \hat{\sigma}_t^{il}(\omega, x) \hat{\sigma}_t^{jl}(\omega, x)$$

such that for some $\alpha > 1/2$

$$|\hat{\sigma}^{il} \xi_i|^2 \geq \alpha |\sigma^{il} \xi_i|^2. \quad (4.35)$$

Assume that the following conditions hold:

$$\hat{\sigma}^i, \partial_i \hat{\sigma}^i, \sigma^i, \partial_i \sigma^i \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega; L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2))), \quad (4.36)$$

$$b^i \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega; W_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d))), \quad (4.37)$$

$$c \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))), c^+ \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)), \quad (4.38)$$

$$h \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega; L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2))). \quad (4.39)$$

Let $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ be a generalized solution of (1.1) satisfying

$$\hat{\sigma}^i \partial_i u, \sigma^i \partial_i u \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2)). \quad (4.40)$$

Then, the same conclusions (I) and (II) of Proposition 4.6 hold.

Proof. Following the proof of Proposition 4.6, by (4.35), as (3.36) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \beta(u_{t,\varepsilon}) \phi &\leq \int \beta(u_{0,\varepsilon}) \phi - \frac{2\alpha-1}{\alpha+1} \int_0^t \int \beta''(u_\varepsilon) \phi (|\hat{\sigma}^{il} \partial_i u_\varepsilon|^2 + |\sigma^{il} \partial_i u_\varepsilon|^2) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int \beta'(u_\varepsilon) \phi f_\varepsilon + \sum_{i=2}^8 |J_i^\varepsilon(t)|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

where $J_i^\varepsilon(t)$ are the same as in (4.6). Checking the proof of Lemma 4.3, we need to give different treatments for J_{21}^ε and J_{22}^ε in (4.15). By (4.4), (4.5) and Young's inequality, we have for any $\delta > 0$,

$$J_{21}^\varepsilon(t) = - \int_0^t \int \beta''(u_\varepsilon) \phi \hat{\sigma}^{il} \partial_i u_\varepsilon [\rho_\varepsilon, \hat{\sigma}^{jl} \partial_j](u)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^t \int \beta''(u_\varepsilon) \phi \partial_i u_\varepsilon [\rho_\varepsilon, \hat{\sigma}^{il}] (\hat{\sigma}^{jl} \partial_j u) \\
& \leq \delta \int_0^t \int \beta''(u_\varepsilon) \phi |\hat{\sigma}^{il} \partial_i u_\varepsilon|^2 \\
& \quad + C_\delta \int_0^t \int \beta''(u_\varepsilon) \phi |[\rho_\varepsilon, \hat{\sigma}^{jl} \partial_j](u)|^2 \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \int \beta'(u_\varepsilon) \partial_i \phi [\rho_\varepsilon, \hat{\sigma}^{il}] (\hat{\sigma}^{jl} \partial_j u) \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \int \beta'(u_\varepsilon) \phi [\rho_\varepsilon, \partial_i \hat{\sigma}^{il}] (\hat{\sigma}^{jl} \partial_j u) \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \int \beta'(u_\varepsilon) \phi [\rho_\varepsilon, \hat{\sigma}^{il} \partial_i] (\hat{\sigma}^{jl} \partial_j u). \tag{4.42}
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.40), (4.36) and Lemma 4.2, except for the first term, the other terms tend to zero in $L^1(\Omega)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. As for J_{22}^ε in (4.15), we can treat it in the same way as above, and have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} |J_{22}^\varepsilon(t)| = 0.$$

For J_5^ε and J_6^ε , by Young's inequality, we have for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_5^\varepsilon(t) + J_6^\varepsilon(t) & \leq \delta \int_0^t \int \beta''(u_\varepsilon) \phi |\sigma^{il} \partial_i u_\varepsilon|^2 + C_\delta \int_0^t \int \beta''(u_\varepsilon) \phi |h^l u_\varepsilon|^2 \\
& \quad + C_\delta \int_0^t \int \beta''(u_\varepsilon) \phi |[\rho_\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}^l](u)|^2. \tag{4.43}
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.36), (4.39) and Lemma 4.3, the last term goes to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Substituting (4.42) and (4.43) into (4.41), taking δ small enough and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \beta(u_t) \phi & \leq \int \beta(u_0) \phi + \int_0^t \int (u \beta'(u) - \beta(u)) (\phi \partial_i b^i + c \phi) \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \int \beta(u) (\partial_j (\partial_i \phi a^{ij}) - \partial_i \phi b^i + c \phi) \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \int \beta'(u) \phi f + C_\delta \int_0^t \int \beta''(u) \phi (h^l u)^2 \\
& \quad + \int_0^t \left(\int (\beta(u) \partial_i (\sigma^{il} \phi) + \beta'(u) \phi h^l u) \right) dB_s^l.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can repeat the proof of Proposition 4.6. The details are omitted. $\square$

5. L^1 -INTEGRABILITY AND WEAK CONTINUITY OF GENERALIZED SOLUTIONS

Although we have already proved the L^1 -integrability of generalized solutions in the previous section under (4.24) and (4.26), we still hope to get the L^1 -integrability under (4.25). We now return to the construction of generalized solutions and use estimate (4.27) to prove the L^1 -integrability of the constructed solutions in Section 3. Moreover, we shall also study the weak continuity of generalized solutions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

As in Section 3, we start from approximation equation (3.14). Instead of there, we use the following approximation for b as used in (3.5):

$$b_{t,\varepsilon}^i := (b_t^i * \rho_\varepsilon) \chi_\varepsilon.$$

Lemma 5.1. Assume that

$$\frac{|b|}{1+|x|}, \partial_i b^i \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Then for some $\ell_t \in L^1(0, T)$,

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} \|\partial_i b_{t,\varepsilon}^i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \ell_t. \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. Note that

$$\partial_i b_{t,\varepsilon}^i = \partial_i (b_t^i * \rho_\varepsilon) \chi_\varepsilon + (b_t^i * \rho_\varepsilon) \partial_i \chi_\varepsilon.$$

It is clear that

$$\|\partial_i (b_t^i * \rho_\varepsilon) \chi_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|\partial_i b_t^i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Observing (3.13) and

$$\text{supp}(\rho_\varepsilon) \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| \leq \varepsilon\},$$

we have for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} |(b_t^i * \rho_\varepsilon)(x) \partial_i \chi_\varepsilon(x)| &\leq C \varepsilon 1_{[1/\varepsilon, 2/\varepsilon]}(|x|) \int |b_t(y)| \rho_\varepsilon(x-y) dy \\ &\leq C \varepsilon \int_{1/\varepsilon-1 \leq |y| \leq 2/\varepsilon+1} |b_t(y)| \rho_\varepsilon(x-y) dy \\ &\leq C \sup_y \frac{|b_t(y)|}{1+|y|} \int_{1/\varepsilon-1 \leq |y| \leq 2/\varepsilon+1} \varepsilon(1+|y|) \rho_\varepsilon(x-y) dy \\ &\leq C \sup_y \frac{|b_t(y)|}{1+|y|}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|(b_t^i * \rho_\varepsilon) \partial_i \chi_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \left\| \frac{|b_t|}{1+|x|} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

The desired estimate follows. $\square$

We have:

Proposition 5.2. Keep the same assumptions as in Proposition 3.1 and assume

$$\frac{|b|}{1+|x|} \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)).$$

If $g^l \equiv 0$, $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $f \in L^1([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, then the generalized solution in Proposition 3.1 satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\text{ess. sup}_{t \in [0, T]} \int |u_t| \right) \leq C \mathbb{E} \int |u_0| + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |f|. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Consider approximation equation (3.14). Since all the coefficients have supports contained in the ball of radius $1/\varepsilon$, all of the conditions in Proposition 4.6 are satisfied. Thus, by (4.27), we have the following uniform estimate:

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\text{ess. sup}_{s \in [0, T]} \int |u_{\varepsilon, s}| \right) \leq C \mathbb{E} \int |u_0| + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |f|, \quad (5.3)$$

where C is independent of ε . Now, following the proof of Proposition 3.1, let $\mathcal{D} \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a countable and dense subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then

$$\sup_{t \in S_\omega} \|u_t(\omega)\|_{L^1} = \sup_{t \in S_\omega} \|\sqrt{|u_t(\omega)|}\|_{L^2}^2 = \sup_{t \in S_\omega} \left(\sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\|\phi\|_{L^2}} \int \sqrt{|u_t(\omega)|} \phi \right)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sup_{t \in S_\omega} \left(\sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\|\phi\|_{L^2}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \sqrt{|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_n, t}(\omega)|} \phi \right)^2 \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{t \in S_\omega} \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\|\phi\|_{L^2}} \int \sqrt{|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_n, t}(\omega)|} \phi \right)^2 \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in S_\omega} \|\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_n, t}(\omega)\|_{L^1} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sup_{t \in S_\omega} \|u_{\varepsilon_k, t}(\omega)\|_{L^1},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (5.2) by (5.3). $\square$

Next, we study the weak continuity of generalized solutions. We need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $v \in C_w([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (resp. $v \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$). If for some $R_N \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{ess. sup}_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{|x| \geq R_N} |v_t| = 0, \quad (5.4)$$

then $v \in C_w([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (resp. $v \in C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$).

Proof. We first prove that

$$\text{ess. sup}_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{|x| \geq R_N} |v_t| = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{|x| \geq R_N} |v_t|. \quad (5.5)$$

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset [0, T]$ with full measure such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathcal{S}} \int_{|x| \geq R_N} |v_t| = \text{ess. sup}_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{|x| \geq R_N} |v_t|.$$

For $t \notin \mathcal{S}$, let $\{t_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{S}$ converge to t . Since v_{t_k} weakly converges to v_t in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, by Banach-Saks theorem (cf. [2]), there exists a subsequence still denoted by t_k such that its Cesàro mean $\bar{v}_{t_n} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_{t_i}$ strongly converges to v_t in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus, by Fatou's lemma,

$$\int_{|x| \geq R_N} |v_t| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \geq R_N} |\bar{v}_{t_n}| \leq \sup_{t \in \mathcal{S}} \int_{|x| \geq R_N} |v_t|,$$

which then leads to (5.5).

Let $v \in C_w([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For $t_n \rightarrow t$, we write

$$\int (v_{t_n} - v_t) \phi = \int_{|x| \leq R_N} (v_{t_n} - v_t) \phi + \int_{|x| > R_N} (v_{t_n} - v_t) \phi.$$

By (5.4) and (5.5), the second term can be arbitrarily small uniformly in n for N large enough. For fixed N , the first term goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The desired continuity then follows. If $v \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $t_n \rightarrow t$, then

$$\int |v_{t_n} - v_t| = \int_{|x| \leq R_N} |v_{t_n} - v_t| + \int_{|x| > R_N} |v_{t_n} - v_t|.$$

As above, we have $v \in C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$. $\square$

Using this lemma, we can prove the following result about the weak continuity of generalized solutions. Our proof is adapted from [11, p.206, Theorem 3].

Proposition 5.4. *Let $u \in L^2(\Omega; L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ be a generalized solution of SPDE (1.1). Then there exists a version $\tilde{u} \in L^2(\Omega; C_w([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ so that $u_t(\omega, x) = \tilde{u}_t(\omega, x)$ ($dt \times P \times dx$)-a.s.. Moreover, if u also satisfies*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\text{ess. sup}_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{|x| \geq R} |u_t| \right) = 0, \quad (5.6)$$

then $\tilde{u}$ also belongs to $L^1(\Omega; C_w([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)))$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n, \dots\} \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a countable and dense subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For each $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$, we write the right hand side of (2.1) as $\Phi_t(\phi)$. Then $t \mapsto \Phi_t(\phi)$ is a continuous process and for $(dt \times P)$ -almost all $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$

$$\Phi_t(\phi)(\omega) = \int u_t(\omega) \phi.$$

Let $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$ be n rational numbers. Then

$$|r_i \Phi_t(\phi_i)(\omega)| \leq \|u_t(\omega)\|_{L^2} \|r_i \phi_i\|_{L^2} \leq \text{ess sup}_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_t(\omega)\|_{L^2} \|r_i \phi_i\|_{L^2}. \quad (5.7)$$

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the collection of all finite many rational numbers $Q = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$. By the countability of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ as well as the continuity of the left hand side, there is a common null set N such that for all $\omega \notin N$ and all $t \in [0, T]$, $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$, $Q \in \mathcal{R}$, inequality (5.7) holds true.

Below, we fix such an $\omega \notin N$. Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})$ be the linear space spanned by $\mathcal{D}$. By the continuous dependence of both sides of (5.7) in $Q \in \mathcal{R}$, one can define a linear functional $\hat{\Phi}_t$ on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})$ such that

$$\hat{\Phi}_t(\phi)(\omega) = \Phi_t(\phi)(\omega), \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}$$

and

$$|\hat{\Phi}_t(\phi)(\omega)| \leq \text{ess sup}_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_t(\omega)\|_{L^2} \|\phi\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}).$$

By Hahn-Banach theorem (cf. [2]), there exists a linear functional $\tilde{\Phi}_t$ such that

$$\tilde{\Phi}_t(\phi)(\omega) = \hat{\Phi}_t(\phi)(\omega), \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D})$$

and

$$|\tilde{\Phi}_t(\phi)(\omega)| \leq \text{ess sup}_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_t(\omega)\|_{L^2} \|\phi\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (5.8)$$

By Riesz theorem, there exists a unique $\tilde{u}_t \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\tilde{\Phi}_t(\phi)(\omega) = \int \tilde{u}_t(\omega) \phi \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{u}_t\|_{L^2} \leq \text{ess sup}_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_t(\omega)\|_{L^2}.$$

Since for any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$, $t \mapsto \int \tilde{u}_t(\omega) \phi = \tilde{\Phi}_t(\phi)(\omega) = \Phi_t(\phi)(\omega)$ is continuous, by (5.8), we also have for any $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$t \mapsto \int \tilde{u}_t(\omega) \phi \text{ is continuous.}$$

The first conclusion is then proven. The second conclusion follows from Lemma 5.3. $\square$

Below, we give sufficient conditions for (5.6).

Proposition 5.5. *In anyone situation of Propositions 4.6, 4.7 and 4.8, we also assume that $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $f \in L^1([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and one of (4.24) and (4.25) hold. If*

$$u \in L^2(\Omega; L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))) \cap L^1(\Omega; L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)))$$

is a generalized solution of SPDE (1.1), then (5.6) holds.

Proof. We only consider the situation of Proposition 4.6. Let $\lambda_R(x) = \lambda(x/R)$, where λ is a non-negative smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\lambda(x) = 1$ for $|x| \geq 2$ and $\lambda(x) = 0$ for $|x| \leq 1$. Let $\chi_n(x) = \chi(x/n)$ be a cutoff function. Following the proof of (II) in Proposition 4.6, we choose $\phi = \phi_n^R = \lambda_R \cdot \chi_n$ in (4.34). Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\text{ess. sup}_{s \in [0, t]} \int |u_s| \phi_n^R \right) \leq C \mathbb{E} \int |u_0| \phi_n^R + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |f| \phi_n^R$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +C\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |u|(|\partial_j(\partial_i\phi_n^R a^{ij})| + |\partial_i\phi_n^R b^i|) \\
& +C\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \left| \int |u| |\sigma^{il} \partial_i \phi_n^R|^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$|\partial_i \phi_n^R| \leq \frac{C\chi_n 1_{|x| \geq R}}{1 + |x|} + \frac{C\lambda_R 1_{|x| \geq n}}{1 + |x|}$$

and

$$|\partial_i \partial_j \phi_n^R| \leq \frac{C\chi_n 1_{|x| \geq R}}{1 + |x|^2} + \frac{C\lambda_R 1_{|x| \geq n}}{1 + |x|^2} + \frac{C 1_{|x| \geq n} 1_{|x| \geq R}}{1 + |x|^2}.$$

Firstly letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then $R \rightarrow \infty$, as in the proof of Proposition 4.6, we get

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\text{ess. sup}_{s \in [0, t]} \int_{|x| \geq 2R} |u_s| \right) \leq \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\text{ess. sup}_{s \in [0, t]} \int |u_s| \phi_n^R \right) = 0.$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 5.6. Using Lemma 5.3 and Propositions 5.2, 5.4 and 5.5, we can improve [11, p.204, Corollary 1] so that $u \in L^1(\Omega; C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ since all the coefficients therein are bounded and $u \in L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)))$.

6. APPLICATION TO NONLINEAR FILTERING

Let $(\hat{B}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $(\tilde{B}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be two independent d and d_1 dimensional standard Brownian motions on a standard filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]})$. Let x_t denote the d -dimensional unobservable signal and y_t the d_1 -dimensional observable signal. We assume that $z_t = (x_t, y_t)$ obeys the following Itô SDE:

$$d \begin{pmatrix} x_t \\ y_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{b}_t(z_t) \\ \tilde{b}_t(z_t) \end{pmatrix} dt + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\sigma}_t(z_t) & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\sigma}_t(y_t) \end{pmatrix} d \begin{pmatrix} \hat{B}_t \\ \tilde{B}_t \end{pmatrix},$$

where $z_0 = (x_0, y_0)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable random variable and the coefficients satisfy the following conditions:

- (H1) The regular conditional distribution of x_0 with respect to the σ -algebra generated by y_0 is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the density $\pi_0 \in L^2(\Omega; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$.
- (H2) The functions $\hat{b}, \hat{\sigma}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{\sigma}$ satisfy the Lipschitz conditions with respect to z with constant K . Moreover, $\hat{\sigma}_t(x, y)$ is continuously differentiable with respect to x (not z) and its first derivatives with respect to x^i satisfy the Lipschitz condition with respect to x (not z) with constant K independent of y .
- (H3) $\tilde{\sigma}$ is non-singular and $\hat{\sigma}, \hat{b}(0, \cdot), \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}, \tilde{b}$ are bounded by K .

These assumptions will be forced throughout this section.

Let $\mathcal{F}_t^y$ be the P -complete σ -algebra generated by $\{y_s, s \leq t\}$, which represents the observation information. We want to get the conditional distribution of x_t under $\mathcal{F}_t^y$, i.e, to calculate

$$\Pi_t(\omega, \Gamma) := P(x_t \in \Gamma | \mathcal{F}_t^y),$$

which is called the problem of filtering.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
a_t^{ij}(\omega, x) &:= \hat{\sigma}_t^{ik}(x, y_t(\omega)) \hat{\sigma}_t^{jk}(x, y_t(\omega)) / 2, \\
h_t(\omega, x) &:= \tilde{\sigma}_t^{-1}(y_t(\omega)) \tilde{b}_t(x, y_t(\omega)).
\end{aligned}$$

We introduce the differential operators $\mathcal{L}_t(\omega, x)$ and $\mathcal{M}_t(\omega, x)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_t(\omega, x)u &:= \partial_i \partial_j (a_t^{ij}(\omega, x)u) - \partial_i (\hat{b}_t^i(x, y_t(\omega))u) \\ &= \partial_i (a_t^{ij}(\omega, x) \partial_j u) - \partial_i (b_t^i(\omega, x)u),\end{aligned}$$

where $b_t^i(\omega, x) := \hat{b}_t^i(x, y_t(\omega)) - \partial_j a_t^{ij}(\omega, x)$, and

$$\mathcal{M}_t(\omega, x)u := h_t(\omega, x)u.$$

Define

$$\rho_t := \exp \left\{ \int_0^t h_s^k(x_s) d\tilde{B}_s^k + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |h_s^k(x_s)|^2 ds \right\}$$

and

$$\bar{B}_t^k := \tilde{B}_t^k + \int_0^t h_s^k(x_s) ds.$$

By Girsanov's theorem, under the new probability measure

$$\bar{P}(d\omega) := \rho_t^{-1}(\omega)P(d\omega),$$

$\bar{B}_t$ is still a d_1 -dimensional standard Brownian motion and independent of $\hat{B}_t$. Moreover,

$$d \begin{pmatrix} x_t \\ y_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{b}_t(z_t) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} dt + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\sigma}_t(z_t) & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\sigma}_t(y_t) \end{pmatrix} d \begin{pmatrix} \hat{B}_t \\ B_t \end{pmatrix}.$$

The following lemma is taken from [11, p. 228, Lemma 1.4].

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t$ be the σ -algebra generated by $\{\bar{B}_s, s \leq t\}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}_t^y = \bar{\mathcal{F}}_t \vee \mathcal{F}_0^y.$$

From this lemma, we know that $\bar{B}_t$ is a d_1 -dimensional standard Brownian motion on filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \bar{P}; (\mathcal{F}_t^y)_{t \in [0, T]})$. Moreover, it is clear that the coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are measurable and $\mathcal{F}_t^y$ -adapted. Consider the following SPDE:

$$du_t = \mathcal{L}_t u_t dt + \mathcal{M}_t^k u_t d\bar{B}_t^k, \quad u_0 = \pi_0.$$

Under **(H1)-(H3)**, by Theorem 2.6, there exists a unique non-negative generalized solution in the class that

$$u \in L^2(\Omega; C_w([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))) \cap L^1(\Omega; C_w([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)))$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int |\hat{\sigma}^{il} \partial_i u|^2 \right) < +\infty.$$

We now give a representation for u_t .

Proposition 6.2. *For any $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t \in [0, T]$, we have*

$$\int u_t \phi = \mathbb{E}^{\bar{P}}(\phi(x_t) \rho_t | \mathcal{F}_t^y), \quad P - a.s.. \quad (6.1)$$

Proof. By suitable approximation, we only need to prove (6.1) for $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We sketch the proof. As in Section 3, we define

$$\hat{\sigma}_{t,\varepsilon}^{ik}(\omega, \cdot) := (\sigma_t^{ik}(\cdot, y_t(\omega)) * \rho_\varepsilon) \chi_\varepsilon, \quad h_\varepsilon^l := (h^l * \rho_\varepsilon) \chi_\varepsilon$$

and

$$\hat{b}_{t,\varepsilon}^i(\omega, \cdot) := [(\hat{b}_t^i(\cdot, y_t(\omega)) \wedge (1/\varepsilon)) \vee (-1/\varepsilon)] * \rho_\varepsilon,$$

and consider the corresponding approximation equation:

$$du_{\varepsilon,t} = \mathcal{L}_{t,\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon,t} dt + \mathcal{M}_{t,\varepsilon}^k u_{\varepsilon,t} d\bar{B}_t^k, \quad u_{\varepsilon,0} = \pi_0. \quad (6.2)$$

By [11, p.203, Theorem 1] (see also [8]), the unique solution of equation (6.2) can be represented by

$$\int u_{\varepsilon,t} \phi = \mathbb{E}^{\bar{P}}(\phi(x_{\varepsilon,t}) \rho_{\varepsilon,t} | \mathcal{F}_t^y), \quad (6.3)$$

where $x_{\varepsilon,t}$ solves the following SDE:

$$x_{\varepsilon,t} = x_0 + \int_0^t \hat{b}_{s,\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon,s}) ds + \int_0^t \hat{\sigma}_{s,\varepsilon}(x_{\varepsilon,s}) d\hat{B}_s$$

and

$$\rho_{\varepsilon,t} = 1 + \int_0^t \rho_{\varepsilon,s} h_{s,\varepsilon}^k(x_{\varepsilon,s}) d\bar{B}_s^k,$$

i.e.,

$$\rho_{\varepsilon,t} = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t h_{s,\varepsilon}^k(x_{\varepsilon,s}) d\bar{B}_s^k - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |h_{s,\varepsilon}^k(x_{\varepsilon,s})|^2 ds \right\}.$$

It is now standard to prove that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,T]} |x_{\varepsilon,s}|^2 \right) < +\infty.$$

Using this estimate, we can prove that for any $\delta > 0$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |x_{\varepsilon,t} - x_t| \geq \delta \right) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |\rho_{\varepsilon,t} - \rho_t| \geq \delta \right) = 0,$$

where we have used that

$$\rho_t = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t h_s(x_s) d\bar{B}_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |h_s^l(x_s)|^2 ds \right\}.$$

On the other hand, as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, one knows that

$$u_{\varepsilon,t} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u_t \text{ weakly in } L^2([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Now taking weak limits for (6.3), we obtain

$$\int u_t \phi = \mathbb{E}^{\bar{P}}(\phi(x_t) \rho_t | \mathcal{F}_t^y), \quad (dt \times P) - a.s.$$

Since the left hand side is continuous and the right hand side also admits a continuous version (cf. [11, p.206, Theorem 3]), representation (6.1) now follows. $\square$

Our main result in this section is:

Theorem 6.3. *Under (H1)-(H3), the conditional distribution $\Pi_t(\omega, \Gamma)$ has a density $\pi_t(\omega, \cdot) \in C_w([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure almost surely. It is given by*

$$\pi_t(\omega, x) = \frac{u_t(\omega, x)}{\int u_t(\omega, x) dx}. \quad (6.4)$$

Moreover, for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\pi_t(\phi) = \int \phi \pi_t$ satisfies the following non-linear SPDE:

$$\pi_t(\phi) = \pi_0(\phi) + \int_0^t \pi_s(\mathcal{L}_s^* \phi) ds + \int_0^t [\pi_s(\mathcal{M}_s^{k*} \phi) - \pi_s(h_s^k) \pi_s(\phi)] d\check{B}_s^k, \quad (6.5)$$

where $d\check{B}_t^k = d\bar{B}_t^k - \pi_t(h_t^k) dt$ and $\mathcal{L}_s^*$ and $\mathcal{M}_s^{k*}$ are their respective adjoint operators.

Proof. By (6.1) and Bayes' formula about the conditional expectations (cf. [11, p.224, Theorem 1]), we have

$$\mathbb{E}^P(\phi(x_t)|\mathcal{F}_t^y) = \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\bar{P}}(\phi(x_t)\rho_t|\mathcal{F}_t^y)}{\mathbb{E}^P(\rho_t|\mathcal{F}_t^y)} = \left(\int u_t \right)^{-1} \int u_t \phi.$$

Formula (6.4) follows.

Observe that

$$\rho_t = 1 + \int_0^t h_s^k(x_s) \rho_s d\bar{B}_s^k.$$

Taking the conditional expectation with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t^y$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^{\bar{P}}(\rho_t|\mathcal{F}_t^y) &= 1 + \mathbb{E}^{\bar{P}}\left(\int_0^t h_s^k(x_s) \rho_s d\bar{B}_s^k \middle| \mathcal{F}_t^y\right) \\ &= 1 + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^{\bar{P}}(h_s^k(x_s) \rho_s | \mathcal{F}_s^y) d\bar{B}_s^k \\ &= 1 + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^P(h_s^k(x_s) | \mathcal{F}_s^y) \mathbb{E}^{\bar{P}}(\rho_s | \mathcal{F}_s^y) d\bar{B}_s^k, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is due to the property of stochastic integrals and the third equality is due to the Bayes' formula.

In view of $h_s^k(x_s) = \tilde{\sigma}_s^{-1}(y_s) \tilde{b}_s(x_s, y_s)$, by certain approximation, we have

$$\mathbb{E}^P(h_s^k(x_s) | \mathcal{F}_s^y) = \pi_s(h_s^k).$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\bar{P}}(\rho_t | \mathcal{F}_t^y) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \pi_s(h_s^k) d\bar{B}_s^k - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\pi_s(h_s^k)|^2 ds \right\}.$$

Since $\int u_t = \mathbb{E}^{\bar{P}}(\rho_t | \mathcal{F}_t^y)$, equation (6.5) now follows by Itô's formula. $\square$

Remark 6.4. We can also consider the filtering problem in the cases of Theorems 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5. In particular, in the case of Theorem 2.4, we can even allow some singularity of $\hat{b}$ in x .

7. A DEGENERATE NONLINEAR SPDE

Let a^{ij} be given by

$$a_t^{ij}(\omega, x) = \hat{\sigma}_t^{il}(\omega, x) \hat{\sigma}_t^{jl}(\omega, x)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_t(\omega)u := \partial_i(a_t^{ij}(\omega, x)\partial_j u) + \partial_i(b_t^i(\omega, x)u), \quad \mathcal{M}_t^l(\omega)u := \sigma_t^{il}(\omega, x)\partial_i u.$$

In this section, we consider the following SPDE

$$du_t = (\mathcal{L}_t u_t + f_t(u_t))dt + (\mathcal{M}_t^l u_t + g_t^l(u_t))dB_t^l, \quad u_0(\omega, x) = \varphi(\omega, x), \quad (7.1)$$

where

$$f : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad g : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow l^2.$$

are $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})$ -measurable functions.

Our main result in this section is:

Theorem 7.1. Assume that for some $\alpha > 1/2$

$$|\hat{\sigma}_t^{il} \xi_i|^2 \geq \alpha |\sigma_t^{il} \xi_i|^2$$

and the following conditions hold: for some $q > 1$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\hat{\sigma}^i}{1+|x|}, \partial_i \hat{\sigma}^i \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2)), \\ \frac{|b^i|}{1+|x|}, \operatorname{div} b \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)), \\ \partial_k b^i \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega; L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d))), \\ \sigma^i, \partial_i \sigma^i \in L^{2q}(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2)), \end{cases}$$

and for some $K > 0$ and $\gamma \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)$

$$|f_t(\omega, x, z) - f_t(\omega, x, z')| + \|g_t(\omega, x, z) - g_t(\omega, x, z')\|_2 \leq K|z - z'|, \quad (7.2)$$

$$|f_t(\omega, x, z)| + \|g_t(\omega, x, z)\|_2 \leq K|z| + \gamma_t(\omega, x). \quad (7.3)$$

Then for any $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, there exists a unique generalized solution with

$$u \in L^2(\Omega; C_w([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))) \quad (7.4)$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}^i \partial_i u, \sigma^i \partial_i u \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; l^2)). \quad (7.5)$$

Proof. (Uniqueness): The uniqueness is a conclusion of the maximum principle. In fact, let u and $\tilde{u}$ be two generalized solutions of nonlinear SPDE (7.1) with the same initial values and satisfy (7.4) and (7.5). It is easy to see that

$$v := u - \tilde{u}$$

satisfies the following linear equation:

$$dv_t = (\mathcal{L}_t v_t + c_t v_t)dt + (\mathcal{M}_t^l v_t + h_t^l v_t)dB_t^l, \quad v_0(\omega, x) = 0,$$

where

$$c_t(\omega, x) = \int_0^1 (\partial_z f_t)(\omega, x, \theta(u - \tilde{u}) + \tilde{u})d\theta$$

and

$$h_t^l(\omega, x) = \int_0^1 (\partial_z g_t^l)(\omega, x, \theta(u - \tilde{u}) + \tilde{u})d\theta.$$

By assumption (7.2), we know that

$$c \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d), \quad h \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d; l^2).$$

Hence, by Proposition 4.8, we have $v \equiv 0$. The uniqueness then follows.

(Existence): We use the Picard iteration method and a priori estimate (3.35). Let $u_t^0(\omega, x) = \varphi(\omega, x)$. Consider the following approximation equation:

$$du_t^n = (\mathcal{L}_t u_t^n + f_t(u_t^{n-1}))dt + (\mathcal{M}_t^l u_t^n + g_t^l(u_t^{n-1}))dB_t^l, \quad u_0^n(\omega, x) = \varphi(\omega, x). \quad (7.6)$$

By (2.4) and (7.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \int |u_s^n|^2 \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t \int (|\hat{\sigma}^{il} \partial_i u_s^n|^2 + |\sigma^{il} \partial_i u_s^n|^2) \right) \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E} \int |u_0|^2 + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |f_s(u_s^{n-1})|^2 + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int |g_s^l(u_s^{n-1})|^2 \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E} \int |u_0|^2 + C \int_0^T \ell_s ds + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int |u_s^{n-1}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we get the following uniform estimates:

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \int |u_s^n|^2 \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int (|\hat{\sigma}^{il} \partial_i u^n|^2 + |\sigma^{il} \partial_i u^n|^2) \right) \leq C, \quad (7.7)$$

where C is independent of n .

Set now

$$v^{n,m} := u^n - u^m.$$

Then, by (2.4) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left(\text{ess. sup}_{s \in [0, t]} \int |v_s^{n,m}|^2 \right) &\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int |f_s(u_s^{n-1}) - f_s(u_s^{m-1})|^2 \\ &\quad + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int |g_s^l(u_s^{n-1}) - g_s^l(u_s^{m-1})|^2 \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \int |v_s^{n-1, m-1}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\Phi_t := \overline{\lim}_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\text{ess. sup}_{s \in [0, t]} \int |v_s^{n,m}|^2 \right).$$

Then by (7.7) and Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\Phi_t \leq C \int_0^t \Phi_s ds,$$

which implies that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\text{ess. sup}_{s \in [0, T]} \int |v_s^{n,m}|^2 \right) = \Phi_T = 0.$$

So, there is a $u \in L^2(\Omega; L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\text{ess. sup}_{s \in [0, T]} \int |u_s^n - u_s|^2 \right) = 0.$$

By passing to the limits for (7.6), we obtain that u is a generalized solution. (7.4) is due to Proposition 5.4. Estimate (7.5) follows from (7.7). $\square$

Remark 7.2. If $g_t(\omega, x, 0) = 0$, $f(\cdot, \cdot, 0) \in L^1([0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, then the unique solution in Theorem 7.1 also belongs to $L^1(\Omega; C_w([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)))$.

8. APPENDIX: PROOF OF LEMMA 4.2

We only prove (4.7). For $R > 0$, let $B_R := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| \leq R\}$. Below, we simply write

$$\|f\|_{L^{r_1}(0, T; L^{r_2}(\Omega, L^{r_3}(B_R)))} =: \|f\|_{r_1, r_2, r_3; R}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_1 &:= L^{q_1}(0, T; L^{q_2}(\Omega, W_{loc}^{1, q_3}(\mathbb{R}^d))), \\ \mathbb{S}_2 &:= L^{p_1}(0, T; L^{p_2}(\Omega, L_{loc}^{p_3}(\mathbb{R}^d))), \\ \mathbb{S}_3 &:= L^{r_1}(0, T; L^{r_2}(\Omega, L_{loc}^{r_3}(\mathbb{R}^d))). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$[\rho_\varepsilon, b^i \partial_i](u) = \int (b^i(y) - b^i(x)) u(y) \partial_i \rho_\varepsilon(x - y) dy - \int \text{div} b(y) u(y) \rho_\varepsilon(x - y) dy.$$

If b and u are smooth in x , then it is easy to see that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\int (b^i(y) - b^i(x))u(y)\partial_i\rho_\varepsilon(x-y)dy \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{div}b(x)u(x),$$

which implies by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|[\rho_\varepsilon, b^i\partial_i](u)\|_{r_1, r_2, r_3; R} = 0.$$

(Case: $p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2, p_3, q_3 < +\infty$). It is enough to show that

$$\mathbb{S}_1 \times \mathbb{S}_2 \ni (b, u) \mapsto [\rho_\varepsilon, b^i\partial_i](u) \in \mathbb{S}_3 \quad (8.1)$$

is uniformly continuous with respect to ε . First of all, by Hölder's inequality, we have for any $R > 0$,

$$\left\| \int \operatorname{div}b(y)u(y)\rho_\varepsilon(x-y)dy \right\|_{r_1, r_2, r_3; R} \leq \|\operatorname{div}b\|_{q_1, q_2, q_3; R+1} \|u\|_{p_1, p_2, p_3; R+1}. \quad (8.2)$$

Observing that

$$|b^i(y) - b^i(x)| \leq |y - x| \int_0^1 |\nabla b^i|(y + \theta(x - y))d\theta$$

and

$$\varepsilon |\nabla \rho_\varepsilon|(x) \leq C \rho_{2\varepsilon}(x),$$

where C is independent of ε , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\varepsilon(x) &:= \left| \int (b^i(y) - b^i(x))u(y)\partial_i\rho_\varepsilon(x-y)dy \right| \\ &\leq C \int |u(y)| \int_0^1 |\nabla b|(y + \theta(x - y))d\theta \rho_{2\varepsilon}(x - y)dy \\ &= C \int |u(x - y)| \int_0^1 |\nabla b|(x - y + \theta y)d\theta \rho_{2\varepsilon}(y)dy. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Hölder's inequality again,

$$\|\gamma_\varepsilon\|_{r_1, r_2, r_3; R} \leq C \|u\|_{p_1, p_2, p_3; R+1} \|\nabla b\|_{q_1, q_2, q_3; R+1},$$

which together with (8.2) yields (8.1).

(Case: any of $p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2, p_3, q_3 = +\infty$). Without loss of generality, we assume $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = +\infty$ and $q_1, q_2, q_3 < +\infty$. In this case, let $u_\delta := u * \rho_\delta$. It is enough to prove that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1)} \|[\rho_\varepsilon, b^i\partial_i](u - u_\delta)\| = 0.$$

Since $\|u_\delta\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{R+1})}$, and for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$u_\delta(x) \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0} u(x),$$

by the dominated convergence theorem, one can see that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\varepsilon} \left\| \int \operatorname{div}b(y)(u(y) - u_\delta(y))\rho_\varepsilon(x-y)dy \right\|_{r_1, r_2, r_3; R} = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\varepsilon} \left\| \int (b^i(y) - b^i(x))(u(y) - u_\delta(y))\partial_i\rho_\varepsilon(x-y)dy \right\|_{r_1, r_2, r_3; R} \\ &\leq C \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\varepsilon} \left\| \int |u - u_\delta|(x - y) \int_0^1 |\nabla b|(x - y + \theta y)d\theta \rho_{2\varepsilon}(y)dy \right\|_{r_1, r_2, r_3; R} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 4.2 is thus complete.

Acknowledgements:

The author would like to thank Professor Benjamin Goldys for providing him an excellent environment to work in the University of New South Wales. His work is supported by ARC Discovery grant DP0663153 of Australia and NSF of China (No. 10871215).

REFERENCES

- [1] R.J. DiPerna and P.L. Lions: Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces. *Invent. math.*, 98,511-547(1989).
- [2] N. Dunford, J.T. Schwarz: Linear operators. New York, Interscience Publishers, 1958.
- [3] N. V. Krylov: An analytic approach to SPDEs, in *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations: Six Perspectives, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, Vol. 64, pp. 185-242, AMS, Providence, 1999.
- [4] N. V. Krylov: Maximum principle for SPDEs and its applications. *Stochastic differential equations: theory and applications*, 311–338, Interdiscip. Math. Sci., 2, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2007.
- [5] N.V. Krylov: On divergence form SPDEs with growing coefficients in W_2^1 spaces without weights. <http://arxiv.org/abs/0907.2467>.
- [6] N.V. Krylov and B.L. Rozovskii: Stochastic evolution equations. *J. Soviet Math.*(Russian), 1979, pp. 71-147, Transl. 16(1981), 1233-1277.
- [7] N. V. Krylov; B.L. Rozovskii: Characteristics of second-order degenerate parabolic Itô equations. (Russian. English summary) *Trudy Sem. Petrovsk.* No. 8 (1982), 153–168.
- [8] H. Kunita: Stochastic flows and stochastic differential equations. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [9] C. Le Bris and P.L. Lions: Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions to Fokker-Planck Type Equations with Irregular Coefficients. *Comm. in Partial Diff. Equa.*, 33:1272-1317,2008.
- [10] E. Pardoux: Stochastic partial differential equations and filtering of diffusion processes. *Stochastic*, Vol.3, 1979, 127-167.
- [11] B.L. Rozovskii: *Stochastic evolution systems. Linear theory and applications to nonlinear filtering*. Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), 35, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1990.
- [12] D.W. Stroock: *Partial differential equations for probabilists*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2008.